

International Journal of Medical Sciences

2025; 22(11): 2637-2652. doi: 10.7150/ijms.106133

**Research Paper** 

# Notable influences of estrogen and sex-specific microenvironment in colorectal cancer revealed by single-cell transcriptome analysis

Yihui Zheng<sup>1,3,4\*</sup>, Chaoxin Yang<sup>1,3,4\*</sup>, Guozhong Xiao<sup>1,3,4\*</sup>, Mingyuan Lei<sup>1,3,4</sup>, Pengfei Qin<sup>2,5⊠</sup>, Huaxian Chen<sup>1,3,4⊠</sup>, Hongcheng Lin<sup>1,3,4,#⊠</sup>

- 1. Department of General Surgery (Department of Coloproctology), The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510655, China
- 2. BGI Research, Chongqing 401329, China
- 3. Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Colorectal and Pelvic Floor Diseases, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510655, China
- 4. Biomedical Innovation Center, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510655, China
- 5. BGI Research, Shenzhen 518083, China

\*These authors contributed equally to this work.

# Lead contact.

🖂 Corresponding authors: lhcheng@mail.sysu.edu.cn (H.L.), chenhx273@mail.sysu.edu.cn (H.C.), qinpengfei@genomics.cn (P.Q.)

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See https://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2024.10.31; Accepted: 2025.04.11; Published: 2025.05.28

#### Abstract

Background: Colorectal cancer (CRC), the third most common malignancy worldwide, exhibits notable sex-specific prognostic differences, yet the underlying biological mechanisms remain poorly understood. Methods: In this study, we conducted single-cell sequencing on 32 CRC samples, followed by pathway enrichment analysis, cell-cell interaction analysis, and transcription factor analysis. The co-expression of GZMB and the transcription factor EOMES in CD8+ T cells was detected using multiplex immunohistochemistry. Western blot and TUNEL assays were employed to validate estrogen-induced apoptosis in CRC cell lines. Results: After quality control, we obtained a total of 167,437 cells across 9 cell types from all samples. Specifically, our analysis revealed sex-based variations in cellular composition, functionality, and intercellular interactions within CRC. Notably, female CRC samples exhibited significant positive correlation between estrogen signaling pathway activation and apoptotic activity, with validation through Western blot and TUNEL assays confirming estrogen-mediated apoptosis induction in CRC cell lines. The immune response was notably enhanced in female CRC, with CD8+ T cells showing increased expression of the EOMES gene regulatory network, thereby boosting T cell immunity. Moreover, B cells of female CRC demonstrated improved capabilities in antigen-presenting and MHC-I interactions with T cells. Additionally, Macro CCL4 cells engaged in sex-specific TNF-TNFRSF1B crosstalk with CD8+ T cells, potentially leading to enhanced antitumor immunity in females. Conversely, CAF\_MMP11 cells exhibiting a myofibroblastic CAF phenotype interacted with malignant epithelial cells via signaling pathways such as THBS, MK, and FN1, likely promoting CRC progression. Conclusions: Our research highlights the distinct immunological and hormonal responses in CRC by sex, which may explain the observed prognostic disparities. These findings may offer additional further biological insights for targeted therapies in CRC.

Keywords: Colorectal cancer, Sex, Estrogen, Single-cell RNA sequencing, Tumor microenvironment

# Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) ranks as the third most prevalent malignancy globally, characterized by notably high incidence and mortality rates [1]. Epidemiological data indicate that both incidence and mortality rates of CRC are higher in men than in women [2]. The 10-year cumulative fatality from CRC remains consistently elevated in males compared to females throughout the 50 - 75 age span [3]. The observed disparity in survival rates between sexes underscores fundamental biological differences in CRC cancer pathogenesis and treatment responses.

Factors such as anatomical location, obesity, sex hormones, and gut microbiota may all influence the survival rates of colorectal cancer differently across sexes. Women exhibit a higher incidence of right-sided colon cancer compared to men, with right-sided tumors generally exhibiting a more aggressive phenotype than left-sided tumors [4, 5]. Epidemiological studies indicate that obesity, which is strongly associated with men, is a significant risk factor for CRC[6]. Hormones such as estrogen, progesterone, and testosterone have been shown to exert modulatory effects on the immune system, influencing both cellular composition and the cytokine secretion profiles [7]. Furthermore, estrogen also plays a protective role in colorectal cancer pathogenesis through modulating various signaling pathways, including the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin pathway [8]. Additionally, research has demonstrated that male-biased gut microbiota metabolites promote the CRC progression via the glycerophospholipid metabolism pathway [9].

The tumor microenvironment (TME), which comprises the immediate surroundings of tumor cells, creating a complex milieu that includes blood vessels, tumor cells, immune cells, stromal cells, various signaling molecules, and extracellular matrix (ECM). This intricate environment is pivotal for the tumor cell survival and progressions. Sex-specific risk factors, particularly those related to hormonal influences, can markedly influence the composition and functionality of the TME. Such factors may modify the interactions and signaling pathways between various cellular components, leading to significant variations in clinical outcomes. Sex-specific disparities in immune cell composition and signaling pathways have been thoroughly investigated across various cancers. For instance, activated CD8+ T cells are more prevalent in females in cases of lung squamous cell carcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma, whereas higher levels are observed in males in pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma, kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma, and liver hepatocellular carcinoma [10]. In colorectal cancer, females exhibit a greater abundance of Th1 cells and cytotoxic T cells, suggesting a more robust immune response against tumor cells [11]. Yang et al. reported that male CD8+ T cells demonstrate reduced effector and stem-like characteristics compared to their female counterparts [12]. Furthermore, the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data analysis also reveals a higher relative abundance of CD4+ and

CD8+ T cells in female CRC patients, indicating a potential immunological advantage [13]. Estrogen is a key factor in sex -based disparities within the CRC tumor microenvironment, as it plays a crucial role in influencing the tumor microenvironment to inhibit tumor growth and progression. It is known to impede CRC progression via NRF2 pathways by promoting apoptosis, inhibiting the c-Myb protein, and downregulating the transcription of the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2 [14]. In the TME of CRC, estrogen may also curb tumor growth by reducing PD-L1 expression and increasing the M1 macrophage population [15].

Previous studies have highlighted the pivotal influence of sex-related disparities of the tumor microenvironment on survival outcomes in CRC patients. Estrogen is known to modulate the TME, enhancing anti-tumor immunity and inhibiting tumor growth. However, a gap exists as current research has not yet leveraged single-cell analyses to explore the heterogeneity of the TME and its distinct impacts on CRC development between sexes. Furthermore, the existing body of research neglects the intricate cellular crosstalk among diverse elements within the TME. Our study addresses this gap by employing single-cell RNA transcriptome sequencing to comprehensively analyze sex disparities in the CRC TME. At single-cell resolution, we examine the differences in immune cells, stromal cells, and cancer cells regarding composition and function. This analysis elucidates the components of the TME that contribute to sex-specific disparities in CRC survival rates, potentially unveiling targets for precision therapy in the prevention and treatment of colorectal cancer.

# **Materials and Methods**

# Human subjects

After obtaining approval from the Ethics Committee of the Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University (No.2024ZSLYEC-240), this study enrolled 32 CRC patients diagnosed at the Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University were enrolled in this study, including 19 males and 13 females. No statistically significant differences in age were observed between the sexes (p = 0.7484), tumor stage (p = 0.8758), or histological type (p = 0.5427). Detailed clinical and pathological information are presented in Table S1.

# Single-cell suspensions, library construction, and sequencing

Fresh specimens of tumor tissues were carefully cleaned with Dulbecco's Phosphate-Buffered Saline first and then cut into 1-2 mm<sup>3</sup> cubes on ice, while

kept on ice. Enzymatic digestion was conducted using the MACS Human Tumor Dissociation Kit (Miltenyi Biotec) on these tissue fragments. Single-cell 3'-libraries were prepared using the DNA Nanoball (DNB) elab C4 scRNA Preparation Kit in following the manufacturer's protocol. After library construction, sequencing was performed on the DNBelab C4 sequencing platform, and the raw reads were processed with stringent filtration and demultiplexing using the PISA software for accurate data analysis (https://github.com/shiquan/PISA).

#### Single-cell RNA-seq data processing

The refined sequencing reads aligned to the human genome using the STAR (v.2.7.4a), and sorted with Sambamba (v.0.7.0). The resulting cell-gene count matrix was then imported into Seurat R package (v.4.2.2) to create a Seurat object, ready for subsequent analysis [16].

To ensure the quality of our downstream analysis, we implemented stringent filtering criteria to select only high-quality cells. We excluded cells based on the following criteria: 1. Cells with more than 20% mitochondrial transcripts, indicating potential cell stress or damage. 2.Cells expressing fewer than 300 genes or more than 6,000 genes, which could represent low-quality or highly variable cells. 3.Doublets and contaminant cells identified by disordered clustering in the UMAP embedding space or chaotic marker expression. 4.Cells with high read depths exceeding 30,000 UMI counts, which may indicate technical artifacts or over-amplification. After filtering, we normalized the discrete gene expression counts across individual cells within each sample using the "LogNormalize" function from Seurat. This normalization step helps to reduce the impact of gene expression variability due to differences in sequencing depth and allows for more accurate comparisons between cells. Next, we employed the "FindVariableFeatures" function from Seurat (v.4.2.2) to identify the top 3,000 genes with high variability, termed Highly Variable Genes. These HVGs are crucial for capturing the biological variability within the dataset and are essential for subsequent dimensionality reduction and clustering analyses. For UMAP projection and clustering analysis, we utilized the top 30 principal components and set a resolution of 0.4.

# Cell type annotation

We identified various cell types, including B cells, plasma cells, epithelial cells, endothelial cells, myeloid cells, fibroblasts, pericytes, mast cells, T cells, subsets of T cells, and subsets of myeloid cells, using classic cell-type markers. Subsets of tumor cells, B

cells, myeloid cells, and fibroblasts were further classified based on differentially expressed genes using Seurat's "FindAllMarkers" function.

#### Gene signature score

We employ the R package AUCell [17] (v1.20.2) to assess cellular functions and signaling pathways on the normalized matrix of Seurat objects. The gene lists for this analysis are provided in Table S2. We utilized the myeloid cell function gene list from Sun et al [18] in Figure 5D and the T-cell cytotoxic and proliferative score gene list from Huang et, al [19] in Figure 3C, G.

# **Pathway Enrichment analysis**

Using the clusterProfiler [20] (v4.6.2) and GSEABase [21] (v1.60.0) R packages, we performed a comparative pathway enrichment analysis on the Seurat object to identify sex-specific differences in enriched pathways. Significance was ascertained with a stringent p cutoff of less than 0.05.

# **Cell-cell interaction analysis**

Using the CellChat [22] (v1.6.1) R package, we conducted an intercellular communication analysis to explore the interactions between distinct cell types. Following the official workflow, we transformed Seurat objects into CellChat objects. We then calculated ligand-receptor pairs and their communication probabilities based on the CellChatDB human database. Ligands and receptors expressed in fewer than ten cells within a cell type were excluded from the analysis.

# Transcription factor and gene regulatory network analysis

We utilized the SCENIC [17] (v1.1.2.2) R package to analyze the regulatory networks involving transcription factors (TFs) and their target genes. By quantifying gene regulatory networks (GRNs) at the single-cell level, we mapped the regulatory interactions between TFs and their targets. Each regulatory module was assigned AUC scores to assess their activity. The analysis aimed to identify TFs with significant regulatory impact across different cell types and sexes by evaluating the regulatory intensity of TFs and their target genes. This approach helps to uncover the key regulatory elements that may contribute to sex-specific differences in cellular behavior within the tumor microenvironment.

# **Developmental trajectory analysis**

We employed Monocle2 [23] algorithm for cell trajectory analysis, utilizing the "DDRTree" method to perform dimensionality reduction and order cells based on differentially expressed genes specific to B cell subsets. Additionally, we applied the Cytotrace [24] algorithm to predict cell ordering, adhering to its official workflow for accurate trajectory inference.

# Ro/e tissue preference analysis

We used a well-established approach from prior literature [25] to assess sex-based tissue preference by calculating the observed-to-expected ratios (Ro/e) for various cell types. A Ro/e ratio > 1 indicated enrichment of that cell type in a particular sex. "+++" stands for Ro/e score > 1, "++" stands for Ro/e score  $\leq$ 1 but > 0.8. "+" stands for Ro/e score  $\leq$  0.8 &  $\geq$  0.2. "+/-" stands for Ro/e score  $\leq$  0.2 & > 0. "-" stands for Ro/e score = 0. This quantification helped us identify cell types with significant sex-based differences in tissue distribution.

# Survival analysis

To perform single-gene survival curve analysis, bulk RNA-seq data, including clinical information and gene expression matrices, were obtained from the Cancer Genome Atlas Program (https://www.cancer.gov/ccg/research/genome-seq uencing/tcga). The optimal cutoff value for gene expression was determined using the "surv\_cutpoint" function from the survminer (v0.4.9) package. Survival curves were then generated with the "survfit" function from the survival (v3.4-0) package and visualized using the ggsurvplot function.

# **Multi-color** immunohistochemistry

We conducted multi-color immunohistochemistry on paraffin-embedded CRC sections collected from the Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University. The cohort consisted of 5 male and 5 female CRC cases, none of which had undergone prior neoadjuvant therapy.

The paraffin sections were first heated in an oven at 65°C for one hour, then deparaffinized in xylene, followed by rehydration through a graded series of solutions, including anhydrous ethanol, 95% ethanol, 75% ethanol, and distilled water. Staining was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol using the PANO 5-plex IHC Kit (Cat#10002100100, Panovue). Antigen retrieval was carried out by heating the sections in citrate solution (pH 9.5, ZSGB-BIO) in a pressure cooker for 18 minutes. Following this, the sections were incubated with blocking buffer at 37°C for 10 minutes. Rabbit anti-human EOMES (Abcam, clone EPR21950-241, 1:50) was applied and incubated overnight at 4°C, followed by the addition of a secondary horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antibody (Panovue) and incubation at 37°C for 10 minutes. Signal amplification was performed using the TSA working solution,

diluted 1:100 in amplification diluent (Panovue), with a 10-minute incubation at 37°C. Subsequently, rabbit anti-human GZMB (CST, clone D6E9W, 1:200) and mouse anti-human CD8 (CST, clone C8/144B, 1:200) were incubated at 37°C for 1 hour, with the subsequent steps following the same protocol. Finally, nuclei were stained with DAPI. Image acquisition was performed using the TissueFAXS cytometry platform, and images were analyzed using StrataQuest software.

# **Cell culture and treatment**

Human LS174T (#STCC10816, Servicebio) and human RKO CRC cell lines (#STCC00054P-1, Servicebio) were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute-1640 medium (Corning) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Procell), and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (ThermoFisher) at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2 chamber. 1Mβ-estradiol (E2, #50-28-2, Merck) was dissolved in 1 mL of anhydrous ethanol by gentle rotation. Subsequently, 49 mL of sterile culture medium was added to the solution, and the mixture was serially diluted to final concentrations of  $10^{-6}$  M,  $10^{-7}$  M, and  $10^{-8}$  M. After incubation with these estrogen concentrations or vehicle (2% ethanol) for 48 hours, cells were subjected to Western blot and TUNEL staining to assess the level of apoptosis.

# Western blot

LS174T cells and RKO cell lines were each set up with control groups, E2-treated groups (10<sup>-6</sup> M, 10<sup>-7</sup> M, and  $10^{-8}$  M). After incubation with E2 for 48 hours, proteins were extracted from the cells. The protein concentration of the samples was determined using a BCA assay kit (#G2026, Servicebio). Total proteins were then separated by 10% SDS-PAGE (Vazyme) and transferred onto PVDF membranes (#ISEQ00010, Millipore). The membranes were blocked with a rapid (#G2052, blocking solution Servicebio) and subsequently incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies against BAX (#D2E11, 1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology), BCL-2 (#R22494, 1:1000, ZEN BIO), CASP9 (#R22844, 1:1000, ZEN BIO), Cleaved-CASP9 (Cle-CASP9, #R381336, 1:1000, ZEN BIO) and GAPDH (#ZB15004-HRP-100, 1:3000, Servicebio). The membranes were then incubated with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (#GB23303, 1:10000, Servicebio). Finally, the proteins were visualized using ECL reagent (#G2020, Servicebio). Semi-quantitative analysis was performed using ImageJ software.

# **TUNEL** staining

Cell apoptosis was assessed by TUNEL staining

using the TMR (red) TUNEL Cell Apoptosis Detection Kit (#G1502, Servicebio). RKO and LS174T cell lines were plated at a density of  $1 \times 10^5$  cells per 35mm dish. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde solution (dissolved in PBS) and permeabilized with Proteinase K for 10 minutes. Positive controls were prepared by treating samples with DNase I (#G3342, Servicebio). The TdT incubation buffer was prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions. After incubation in the dark for 1 hour, nuclei were stained with an anti-fade mounting medium containing DAPI (#G1407, Servicebio), and images were captured using a Pannoramic 250 FLASH III Digital Scanner.

#### **Statistics and Reproducibility**

We utilized unpaired two-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum tests to assess differences in cell distribution between sexes. One-way ANOVA using the two-stage linear step-up procedure by Benjamini, Krieger, and Yekutieli was employed to determine inter-group differences during multiple comparisons. Pearson correlation coefficients were employed for correlation analysis to examine the relationships between genes and gene sets, as well as between different gene sets. p < 0.05 is considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses and data presentations were performed by the R program (v 4.2.2).

# Results

# Sex-specific disparities in the CRC Tumor Microenvironment

To explore sex-specific disparities in the tumor microenvironment of CRC, we performed single-cell sequencing on surgical specimens or endoscopic biopsies from 32 CRC patients, including 19 males and 13 females (Figure 1A). From the 32 samples, we collected a total of 256,971 cells, and after stringent quality control measures, 167,437 cells were retained for subsequent analysis.

We identified 9 distinct cell types (Figure 1B), including T cells, B cells, plasma cells, epithelial cells, endothelial cells, myeloid cells, fibroblasts, pericytes, and mast cells based on their expression of marker genes (Figure 1C). Significant heterogeneity in cell type composition was observed between the sexes (Figure 1D, E). Differences in estrogen response pathways across various cell types were validated, revealing marked disparities in estrogen responses in most cell populations (Figure 1F). These findings suggest that estrogen plays a crucial role in shaping the tumor microenvironment and may be a major factor driving sex -specific disparities in the immune landscape of colorectal cancer.

# Estrogen promotes the apoptosis of cancer cells

Differential gene expression analysis across various cell types between sexes revealed the most pronounced sex-specific differences in epithelial cells (Figure 2A). To investigate these differences further, we performed a clustering analysis of epithelial cells (Figure 2B). We found that Epi\_PIGR showed a significant sex-based proportion difference (Figure 2C). Gene set scoring revealed that Epi\_PIGR exhibits intestinal stem cell characteristics and strong G protein-coupled estrogen receptor (GPER) activity (Figure 2D). Prior studies have indicated that GPER, upon estrogen binding, can induce CRC cell apoptosis [26].

We compared signature scores of estrogen response and apoptosis pathways in Epi\_PIGR between sexes and found elevated expression in female CRC patients (Figure 2E). Correlation analysis further revealed significant associations among these pathways, suggesting estrogen might induce cancer cell apoptosis in CRC (Figure 2F), which could account for the sex difference in Epi\_PIGR abundance. A statistically significant correlation among these pathways was also observed across the entire epithelial cell population (Figure S1A). To investigate the estrogen-mediated apoptotic effects on tumor cells, we performed a series of in vitro experiments using two CRC cell lines (LS174T and RKO). Estrogen treatment induced a significant reduction in the anti-apoptotic protein BCL-2, while simultaneously increasing the levels of the pro-apoptotic protein BAX, compared to untreated controls. Similarly, CASP9 was activated by estrogen and converted to Cle-CASP9 (Figure 2G, Figure S1B). We further conducted TUNEL assays to evaluate DNA fragmentation in CRC, revealing that estrogen increased the proportion of apoptotic cells (Figure These findings collectively demonstrate 2H). estrogen-induced apoptosis in CRC.

In summary, our findings reveal sex-specific differences in estrogen response, and apoptosis pathways, indicating a potential role for estrogen in promoting cancer cell apoptosis, which may impact CRC prognosis.

# The sex-specific differences in the EOMES transcription module affect the anti-tumor functions of CD8+ T cells

T cells play a pivotal role in governing tumor growth and development as a key component of the defense mechanism. We performed clustering analysis of T cells and further annotated them according to marker genes associated with various T cell subsets (Figure 3A, B). Our analysis identified several T cell populations, including naive T cells, CD8+ T cells, CD4+ T cells, MAIT cells, cycling T cells, and stress response T cells (Tstr). CD8+ T cells were further classified into CD8\_Tem (CD8+ effector memory T cells), CD8\_Tcm\_C1 (CD8+ central memory T cells), CD8\_Tcm\_C2, CD8\_Tc (CD8+ cytotoxic T cells), and CD8\_Tex (CD8+ exhausted T

cells) subsets, while CD4+ T cells included CD4\_Tfh (CD4+ follicular helper T cells) and CD4\_Treg (CD4+ regulatory T cells) subsets. Consistent with existing literature [13], we observed that CD4+ and CD8+ T cells were more abundant in females, whereas naive T cells and Tstr cells were predominantly present in males. (Figure S2A).



Figure 1. Sex-biased disparities in the CRC Tumor Microenvironment. A) Schematic workflow of experimental design and data analysis. B) UMAP plot of the major cell types. C) The normalized expression of the marker genes of cell types. D) UMAP plot of female CRC (F) and male CRC (M). E) Bar plot shows the proportion of different sexes in the cell types. F) Gene set scores of estrogen response pathways from GO: BP database among different sexes in cell types. Significance levels are expressed as \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001, and \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.



**Figure 2. Estrogen promotes apoptosis in cancer cells. A)** Bar plot shows the number of different expressed genes of female CRC (F) and male CRC (M). **B)** UMAP plot of subsets of epithelial cells. **C)** Proportion comparison of Epi\_PIGR between female CRC (F) and male CRC (M). Two-sided Wilcoxon test. Significance levels are expressed as \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, and \*\*\*p<0.01. **D**) Gene set scores among epithelial subsets. Gene set of Proliferation, Immune\_surveillance, Immune\_escape, Intestinal\_stem\_cell, and G2M\_Check\_point is listed in table S2. Estrogen and GPCR pathways from GO: BP database is also used. **E)** Violin plots show gene set scores of Hallmark pathways in Epi\_PIGR between female CRC (F) and male CRC (M). Significance levels are expressed as \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001, and \*\*\*\*p<0.001. **F**) Heatmap shows the correlation coefficients of gene set scores of Hallmark pathways in Epi\_PIGR. Significance levels are expressed as \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001, and \*\*\*\*p<0.001. **F**) Heatmap shows the correlation coefficients of gene set scores of Hallmark pathways in Epi\_PIGR. Significance levels are expressed as \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, and \*\*\*p<0.001. Parson correlation coefficient. **G**) Western blot analysis of protein expression levels for BAX, BCL-2, CASP9, Cle-CASP9, and GAPDH in RKO and LS174T cell lines following treatment with varying concentrations of E2 (10<sup>6</sup> M, 10<sup>-7</sup> M, 10<sup>8</sup> M) and control (Ctrl) conditions. **FH)** TUNEL staining in RKO and LS174T cell lines following treatment with varying concentrations of E2 (10<sup>6</sup> M, 10<sup>-7</sup> M, 10<sup>8</sup> M) and control (Ctrl) conditions. Scale bar: 60 µm.



Figure 3. The sex-specific differences in the EOMES transcription module affect the anti-tumor functions of CD8+ T cells. A) UMAP plot of subsets of T cells. B) The normalized expression of the marker genes of T-cell subsets. C) Cytotoxic gene set scores among CD8+ T sub types between female CRC (F) and male CRC (M).

Significance levels are expressed as p<0.05, p<0.01, p<0.01, p<0.001, p<0.001. **D**) Multicolor IHC staining of CD8+ GZMB+ T cells in one representative female or male CRC tumor. Scale bar: 100  $\mu$ m and 20  $\mu$ m. **E**) Subset-specific transcription factor of CD8\_Tem. **F**) Multicolor IHC staining of CD8+ EOMES+ T cells in one representative female or male CRC tumor. Scale bar: 100  $\mu$ m and 20  $\mu$ m. **G**) Chord plot shows EOMES (+) gene regulatory network (GRN). **H**) Violin plots show gene set scores of EOMES (+) GRN in CD8+ T cells between female CRC (F) and male CRC (M). Significance levels are expressed as p<0.05, p<0.01, p<0.001, and p>0.001. **I**) Multicolor IHC staining of CD8+ EOMES+ T cells in one representative female or male CRC tumor. Scale bar: 100  $\mu$ m and 20  $\mu$ m. **G**) Chord plot shows EOMES (+) gene regulatory network (GRN). **H**) Violin plots show gene set scores of EOMES (+) GRN in CD8+ T cells between female CRC (F) and male CRC (M). Significance levels are expressed as p<0.05, p<0.05, p<0.01, and p>0.001. **I**) Multicolor IHC staining of CD8+ GZMB+ EOMES+ T cells in one representative female or male CRC tumor. Scale bar: 100  $\mu$ m and 20  $\mu$ m. **J**) Correlation plots of gene set scores of EOMES (+) GRN and T cell function in CD8+ T cells.

To assess sex differences in CD8+ T cell anti-tumor function, we evaluated cytotoxic gene set scores in CD8+ T cell subsets by sex. The cytotoxicity score of CD8+ T cells in female CRC patients was significantly higher than in males (Figure 3C) , aligning with previous research [27]. In our multi-color immunohistochemistry cohort, we also observed a higher proportion of CD8+ GZMB+ T cells in females (Figure 3D, Figure S2B).

To delve into the factors behind sex differences in CD8+ T cell cytotoxicity, we employed the SCENIC algorithm to analyze gene regulatory network (GRN) of transcription factors in CD8+ T cells. EOMES emerged as the most specific transcription factor in CD8\_Tem cells (Figure 3E). Prior research underscores EOMES's role in CD8+ Т cell differentiation and anti-tumor immunity [28-31]. Multi-color immunohistochemical analysis revealed significantly higher EOMES expression in female CD8+ T cells compared to males (Figure 3F, Figure S2C). The EOMES (+) GRN includes 218 downstream target genes such as CD8A, GZMK, STAT5A, CXCR3, and LAG3 (Figure 3G). Sex comparison of the EOMES (+) GRN activity showed higher expression in female CD8+ Т cells (Figure 3H). Multi-color immunohistochemistry also indicated higher EOMES levels in female CD8+ GZMB+ T cells (Figure 3I, Figure S2D). Correlation analysis revealed significant associations between EOMES (+) GRN expression and CD8+ T cell activation pathways, proliferation scores, and cytotoxicity scores (Figure 3J), suggesting sex differences in the EOMES (+) GRN might affect the activity and tumor-killing function of CD8+ T cells, thereby influencing anti-tumor immunity.

In conclusion, our results support stronger CD8+ T cell activity in female CRC patients and identify the EOMES GRN as a key factor in sex-based T cell cytotoxicity disparities.

# Sex-specific functional differences in B cell antigen presentation

B cells are essential components of the immune system, primarily responsible for antigen presentation. We performed a clustering analysis of B cells and identified 9 distinct B cell subsets: B\_cell\_ IGHM, B\_cell\_LMNA, B\_cell\_CCR6, B\_cell\_CD69, B\_ cell\_IGLC1, B\_cell\_ERCC5, B\_cell\_S100A6, B\_cell\_ HSPA1A, and B\_cell\_RFTN1. These subsets were designated based on their differential gene expression patterns (Figure 4A).

We performed gene set scoring for each subset to elucidate their functional states, identifying B\_cell\_ RFTN1 as the most activated subset among B cells (Figure 4B).

We conducted trajectory analysis focusing on the relatively activated B-cell subsets, specifically B cell CD69, B cell CCR6, B cell IGLC1, B cell ERCC5, B\_cell\_S100A6, and B\_cell\_RFTN1 (Figure 4C). This analysis revealed a developmental trajectory initiating from B\_cell\_CD69 and ending at B\_cell\_ RFTN1. Proportion comparison showed that mature B cells (B\_cell\_RFTN1) are predominantly found in females, whereas the relatively immature B\_cell\_ CD69 is more prevalent in males (Figure 4D). Utilizing both Cytotrace predicted ordering and gene set scoring, we ascertained that B\_cell\_CD69 denotes the most immature state within the B-cell lineage, while B\_cell\_RFTN1 signifies the highest maturation stage (Figure 4B, E). Additionally, our analysis revealed a progressive enhancement in the antigenpresenting capacity of B cells during their differentiation trajectory. (Figure 4F). Pathways associated with antigen presentation is found to be particularly enriched in B\_cell\_RFTN1 and it exhibited elevated expression levels of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) related genes (Figure S3A, B).

Using the CellChat algorithm, we analyzed MHC-I signaling pathway interactions between B and T cells, revealing extensive antigen presentation from B cells to CD8+ T cells (Figure 4G). Furthermore, the female tumor microenvironment also demonstrated enhanced MHC-I interactions between B cells and CD8+ T cells (Figure 4H). These findings suggest that B cells exhibiting advanced differentiation and heightened antigen-presenting capabilities are more prevalent in female CRC patients. This sex disparity in antigen presentation efficacy between B and T cells within the tumor microenvironment could contribute to the observed sex-based variations in CRC prognosis.

In conclusion, our analysis revealed sex-specific variations in B cell differentiation and antigen presentation, potentially contributing to the improved prognosis observed in female CRC patients.



Figure 4. Sex-biased functional differences in B cell antigen presentation. A) UMAP plot of subsets of B cells. B) Gene set scores of B cell function from GO: BP database among B cell subsets. C) Developmental trajectory of B cell subsets grouped by cell type (up) and pseudotime (bottom). D) Bar plot shows the proportion of different sexes in the B cell subsets. E) Boxplots show the predicted ordering of B cell subsets. F) Gene set score of "Antigen processing and presentation" from GO: BP database of B cell developmental trajectory. G) Chord plot shows the cell-cell interaction of MHC–I signaling pathway network between B cells and T cells. H) Bubble plot shows the cell-cell interaction of MHC–I signaling pathway network between B cells and T cells. H) Bubble plot shows the cell-cell interaction of MHC–I signaling pathway network between B cells and T cells. H) Bubble plot shows the cell-cell interaction of MHC–I signaling pathway network between B cells and T cells.

# Macrophages interact with CD8+ T cells via the TNF-TNFRSF1B ligand-receptor pair, showing higher intensity in female CRC

Macrophages are crucial for immune regulation within the tumor microenvironment. We distinguished myeloid cells into macrophages and dendritic cells (DCs) based on marker gene expression. Macrophage subsets were Macro\_CCL4, Macro\_SPP1, and Macro\_MKI67, while DC subsets included cDC\_CLEC10A, cDC\_LAMP3, pDC\_ LILRA4, cDC\_CLEC9A, and cDC\_ELF3. (Figure 5A, B).

The Macro\_CCL4 subset exhibited a higher tissue prevalence in females (Figure 5C) and was significant enriched in immune-related pathways highlighting its pivotal role in T cell recruitment and activation (Figure 5D, Figure S4A). TNF, a critical cytokine in the tumor immune microenvironment, plays a multifaceted role in tumor initiation, progression, and anti-tumor immunity [32]. NF-  $\kappa$  B is crucial for inducing many cytokines and immuneregulatory proteins in TNF-mediated diverse biological responses [33]. I K B kinase (IKK), a regulator of NF-  $\kappa$  B, triggers its release by phosphorylating the inhibitor of NF-  $\kappa$  B (I  $\kappa$  B) [34]. Studies suggest that low levels of estrogen enhance cytokine expression like TNF in monocytes and macrophages [10]. In our study, Macro\_CCL4 was found to be enriched in TNF related pathways including TNF production and I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling (Figure S4A). Further analysis between sexes revealed that the TNF related pathways are predominantly enriched in the female-derived Macro\_CCL4 subset compared to males, with significant sex differences in TNF expression (Figure 5E, F). GSEA further confirmed the significant activation of TNF signaling pathway in female-derived Macro\_TNF cell aligning with our prior findings (Figure S4B).

To elucidate Macro\_CCL4's role in immune modulation, we employed the CellChat algorithm to deduce the communication between Macro\_CCL4 and T cells. Our analysis indicated substantial crosstalk between Macro\_CCL4 and T cells, suggesting a complex network of interactions crucial for immune regulation (Figure 5G). TNFRSF1B (also known as TNFR2), part of the TNF receptor superfamily TRAF (TNF receptor-associated factor) interaction subgroup, co-stimulates CD8+ T cells and sensitizes tumor cells to TNFR1-mediated cytotoxicity [35], and is instrumental for CD8+ T cell activation and cytotoxicity during early immune responses. By lowering TCR signaling thresholds, it significantly impacts CD8+ effector T cell function [36-38]. Our findings show extensive Macro\_CCL4 - CD8+ T cell interactions via the TNF-TNFRSF1B axis, which is enhanced in female CRC patients (Figure 5H, I).

Further investigation into Macro\_CCL4 and CD8+ T cell interaction revealed significant correlations between TNF expression in Macro\_CCL4 and CD8+ T cells activation pathways. The TNF related pathways in Macro CCL4 also correlated strongly with CD8+ T cell activation and cytotoxicity scores (Figure 5J, Figure S4C). It intimates a potential role for TNF secreted by Macro\_CCL4 in modulating T cell activation and enhancing the cytotoxic capacity against cells. This implicates tumor that Macro\_CCL4-derived TNF might be instrumental in orchestrating the immune response within the tumor microenvironment.

Altogether, these findings indicate that sex-specific interactions of Macro\_CCL4 with CD8+ T cells via TNF-TNFRSF1B may contribute to sex disparities in the prognosis of CRC.

# The male-dominant CAF\_MMP11, characterized as the mCAF phenotype, promoting CRC progression through multiple interactions

Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) are essential stromal components of stromal cells, playing pivotal roles in promoting tumor progression. Through single-cell clustering and annotation of fibroblasts, we identified 6 distinct cell types: CAF\_F3, CAF\_MMP11, CAF\_MYH11, CAF\_MKI67, CAF\_ MMP3, and CAF\_ADAMDEC1 (Figure 6A). Current understanding divides CAFs into two main groups with unique TME roles: myofibroblastic CAFs (mCAFs), involved in ECM remodeling and metastasis, and inflammatory CAFs (iCAFs), which modulate immunity and recruit immune cells, thus influencing the tumor's immune context, highlighting their role in shaping the immune landscape within the tumor [39-43]. Gene set scoring for ECM remodeling and different expressed genes identified CAF\_MKI67, CAF\_MMP11, and CAF\_MYH11 as mCAFs (Figure 6B, C). Notably, CAF\_MMP11 showed the strongest ECM remodeling function, with elevated expression of MMP11 and FN1, key players in ECM dynamics (Figure 6B, C). MMP11, from the metalloproteinase family, aids in ECM degradation and tissue remodeling [44], while FN1 is vital for cell adhesion and migration, essential for cancer progression [45]. Both of these factors are crucial in ECM remodeling and tumor metastasis. CAF MMP11 was more prevalent in male CRC, suggesting a link to sex-specific tumor traits (Figure 6D). GO pathway

enrichment analysis confirmed CAF\_MMP11's role in ECM-related pathways, highlighting its contribution

to tumor progression and metastasis (Figure 6E).



Figure 5. Macrophages interact with CD8 T cells via the TNF-TNFRSF1B ligand-receptor pair, showing higher intensity in female CRC. A) UMAP plot of subsets of myeloid cells. B) The expression of the marker genes of myeloid subsets. C) Tissue prevalence of myeloid subsets estimated by Ro/e score, in which Ro/e denotes the

ratio of observed to expected cell number. "+++" stands for Ro/e score > 1, "++" stands for Ro/e score  $\leq 1$  but > 0.8. "+" stands for Ro/e score  $\leq 0.8 \& \geq 0.2$ . "+/-" stands for Ro/e score  $\leq 0.2 \& > 0$ . "-" stands for Ro/e score  $\leq 0.2 \& > 0$ ."-" stands for Ro/



Figure 6. The male-dominant CAF\_MMP11, characterized as the mCAF phenotype, promotes CRC progression through multiple interactions. A) UMAP plot of subsets of CAFs. B) Gene set scores of GO: BP pathways (heatmap) and myofibroblastic/inflammatory CAF (bubble plot) among CAF subsets. C) Volcano plot shows the different expressed genes of every CAF subset comparing to other subsets. D) Tissue prevalence of CAF subsets estimated by Ro/e score, in which Ro/e denotes the ratio of observed to expected cell number. "+++" stands for Ro/e score > 1, "++" stands for Ro/e score  $\leq 1$  but > 0.8. "+" stands for Ro/e score  $\leq 0.8 \ge 0.2$ . "+/-" stands for Ro/e score

 $\leq$  0.2 & > 0."-" stands for Ro/e score = 0. E) GO pathway enrichment of CAF\_MMP11 comparing to other CAF subsets. F) Chord plot shows the cell-cell interaction of THBS, MK, and FN1 signaling pathway network between CAF subsets and Tumor cells. G) Violin plot shows gene expression of FN1 in CAF subsets. H) Correlation plot of FN1 expression and gene set score of ECM organization pathway in CAF\_MMP11. I) Violin plot shows gene expression of FN1 in CAF\_MMP11 between female CRC (F) and male CRC (M).

Moreover, cell interaction analysis between CAFs and malignant epithelial cells revealed that CAF\_MMP11, an mCAF subset, interacts with these cells via signaling pathways such as THBS, MK, and FN1 (Figure 6F). Studies indicates that CAF-derived THBS2 promotes tumor growth and adhesion [46]. CAF-derived MK may also enhance tumor resistance through various mechanisms [47, 48]. FN1 knockdown in CAFs diminishes cancer cell migration, and FN1 promotes tumor metastasis [49, 50]. These findings suggest that mCAFs in the CRC tumor microenvironment can promote tumor metastasis and progression through various mechanisms.

We further analyzed the ligands engaged in these interactions and determined that the majority of FN1 was derived from CAF\_MMP11. Moreover, correlation analysis showed a strong positive link between FN1 expression and the ECM organization ability of CAF\_MMP11. Further investigation demonstrated that FN1 expression was markedly upregulated in male CAF\_MMP11. These findings collectively imply that FN1 might be a crucial driver for CAF\_MMP11 in ECM remodeling, thereby contributing to tumor metastasis in male CRC.

In summary, we identified pro-tumorigenic CAF\_MMP11 in the CRC tumor microenvironment, which are more prevalent in males and likely promote tumor progression by modulating cell-cell interactions and ECM remodeling, indicating a sex-disparate distribution.

# Discussion

CRC, a prevalent digestive tract malignancy, ranked third globally among malignancies in 2020 based on incidence and second in terms of mortality [1]. Notably, men show higher incidence and mortality rates than women in every age group from 50 to 75 [3]. However, the biological mechanisms behind sex disparities in CRC prognosis remain inadequately explored and necessitate further investigation.

Multiple factors contributing to sex differences may influence CRC prognosis. For instance, female CRC tends to occur more frequently in the right-sided colon, while males have a higher red meat consumption [4, 7]. The tumor microenvironment, consisting of cancer cells, immune cells, and stromal components, engages in intricate crosstalk among different cell types, impacting the tumor-immune balance and potentially influencing CRC development and progression.

Previous research has primarily focused on sex differences in T cells in CRC, revealing that females have a higher prevalence of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, with CD8+ T cells showing more stemness traits [12, 13]. Estrogens also modify the tumor microenvironment by enhancing tumor cell apoptosis and reducing PD-L1 expression, impacting CRC prognosis. However, the role of sex disparities in other tumor microenvironment cell types and the effects of estrogens require further investigation. Our study leverages single-cell transcriptomic analysis to unravel profound sex-specific disparities in the TME of CRC, offering mechanistic insights into the differential immune and stromal responses that underlie prognostic variations between males and females.

We found a significant positive correlation between the estrogen pathway and apoptosis levels through single-cell data analysis, consistent with previous research [26]. The validation of estrogen-induced apoptosis further underscores hormonal regulation as a cornerstone of sex differences, with estrogen suppressing BCL-2 and activating caspase cascades. The enhanced cytotoxic activity of CD8+ T cells in female CRC aligns with prior reports of sex-biased T cell functionality [12, 13], but our identification of the EOMES-centered gene network provides unprecedented regulatory resolution into the transcriptional drivers of this disparity. The EOMES GRN, encompassing CD8A, GZMK, STAT5A, CXCR3, and LAG3, integrates cytotoxic effector functions, migratory capacity, and checkpoint regulation-features critical for sustained anti-tumor immunity. This GRN is significantly associated with CD8+ T cell activation, cytotoxicity, and proliferation. The heightened antigen-presenting capacity of mature B cells (B\_cell\_RFTN1) in females adds another layer to this immunological advantage. As B cell differentiation progresses, antigen presentation with CD8+ T cells intensify. In female CRC, differentiated B cells are more prevalent, whereas male CRC is dominated by immature B cells, which may potentially diminish the effectiveness of anti-tumor responses. In males, the accumulation of CAF\_MMP11, a myofibroblastic subset marked by MMP11 and FN1 overexpression, reveals a stromal axis driving CRC progression. The THBS, MK, and FN1 signaling between CAF\_MMP11 and epithelial cells further exacerbates tumor growth, as CAF-

derived THBS2 and MK are implicated in therapy resistance and metastasis [46, 48, 49]. The sex-specific **TNF-TNFRSF1B** axis between Macro CCL4 macrophages and CD8+ T cells unveils a novel immune coordination mechanism. While TNF signaling is broadly implicated in T cell activation, its female-biased utilization underscores estrogen's role in fine-tuning myeloid-immune crosstalk. Macro\_ CCL4-derived TNF likely primes CD8+ T cells via TNFRSF1B, lowering TCR activation thresholds and amplifying cytotoxicity, corroborated by the strong correlation between TNF pathway activity and T cell effector scores.

# Conclusions

In summary, our study delineates sex-specific immunological and hormonal responses in CRC at the single-cell level, highlighting the significant impact of estrogen on the CRC tumor microenvironment and resulting in prognostic disparities between sexes. These insights advance our understanding for developing targeted CRC therapies.

# Abbreviations

CRC: Colorectal cancer; TME: Tumor microenvironment; scRNA-seq: single-cell RNA sequencing; DPBS: Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline; DEGs: Differentially expressed genes; GO: Gene ontology; GSEA: Gene Set Enrichment Analysis; TCGA: The cancer genome atlas; DCs: Dendritic cells; PDCs: Plasmacytoid dendritic cells; CAFs: Cancer-associated fibroblasts; ECM: extracellular matrix.

# Supplementary Material

Supplementary figures and tables. https://www.medsci.org/v22p2637s1.pdf

# Acknowledgements

#### Funding

This study was supported by fundings from Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province for Distinguished Young Scholars (No. 2022B1515020003), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82174369), Innovative Clinical Technique of Guangzhou (No. 2023P-GX09).

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and all sampling and experimental procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University (license no. 2024ZSLYEC-240).

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets utilized and/or analyzed during the current study can be obtained from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### **Authors' contributions**

H.C.L., H.X.C, P.F.Q., and Y.H.Z. conceptualized the study; Y.H.Z., C.X.Y., and G.Z.X. performed the methodology; Y.H.Z., C.X.Y., G.Z.X., and M.Y.L. performed the study investigation; Y.H.Z., C.X.Y., and G.Z.X. wrote the original draft; Y.H.Z., C.X.Y., G.Z.X., M.Y.L., H.X.C, P.F.Q., and H.C.L. performed the review and editing; H.C.L., H.X.C, and P.F.Q. acquired the funding; Y.H.Z., C.X.Y., G.Z.X., H.X.C, P.F.Q., and H.C.L. obtained resources; H.X.C, P.F.Q., and H.C.L. supervised the study.

# **Competing Interests**

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

#### References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021; 71: 209-49.
- Miller KD, Ortiz AP, Pinheiro PS, Bandi P, Minihan A, Fuchs HE, et al. Cancer statistics for the US Hispanic/Latino population, 2021. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021; 71: 466-87.
- Brenner H, Hoffmeister M, Arndt V, Haug U. Gender differences in colorectal cancer: implications for age at initiation of screening. Br J Cancer. 2007; 96: 828-31.
- Kim S-E, Paik HY, Yoon H, Lee JE, Kim N, Sung M-K. Sex- and gender-specific disparities in colorectal cancer risk. World J Gastroenterol. 2015; 21: 5167-75.
- Hansen IO, Jess P. Possible better long-term survival in left versus right-sided colon cancer - a systematic review. Dan Med J. 2012; 59: A4444.
- Kim H, Giovannucci EL. Sex differences in the association of obesity and colorectal cancer risk. Cancer Causes Control. 2017; 28: 1-4.
- Bouman A, Heineman MJ, Faas MM. Sex hormones and the immune response in humans. Hum Reprod Update. 2005; 11: 411-23.
- Abancens M, Bustos V, Harvey H, McBryan J, Harvey BJ. Sexual Dimorphism in Colon Cancer. Front Oncol. 2020; 10: 607909.
- Wang L, Tu Y-X, Chen L, Zhang Y, Pan X-L, Yang S-Q, et al. Male-Biased Gut Microbiome and Metabolites Aggravate Colorectal Cancer Development. Adv Sci (Weinh). 2023; 10: e2206238.
- Pinto JA, Araujo JM, Gómez HL. Sex, immunity, and cancer. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Reviews on Cancer. 2022; 1877: 188647.
- 11. Spolverato G, Fassan M, Capelli G, Scarpa M, Negro S, Chiminazzo V, et al. IMMUNOREACT 5: female patients with rectal cancer have better immune editing mechanisms than male patients a cohort study. Int J Surg. 2023; 109: 323-32.
- Yang C, Jin J, Yang Y, Sun H, Wu L, Shen M, et al. Androgen receptormediated CD8+ T cell stemness programs drive sex differences in antitumor immunity. Immunity. 2022; 55.
- Geddes AE, Ray AL, Nofchissey RA, Esmaeili A, Saunders A, Bender DE, et al. An analysis of sexual dimorphism in the tumor microenvironment of colorectal cancer. Front Oncol. 2022; 12: 986103.
- Wenxuan L, Liu L, Zhang L, Qiu Z, Wu Z, Deng W. Role of gonadally synthesized steroid hormones in the colorectal cancer microenvironment. Front Oncol. 2023; 13: 1323826.
- 15. Song C-H, Kim N, Nam RH, Choi SI, Jang JY, Kim JW, et al. Combination treatment with 17 $\beta$ -estradiol and anti-PD-L1 suppresses MC38 tumor growth by reducing PD-L1 expression and enhancing M1 macrophage population in MC38 colon tumor model. Cancer Lett. 2022; 543: 215780.
- 16. Stuart T, Butler A, Hoffman P, Hafemeister C, Papalexi E, Mauck WM, et al. Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. Cell. 2019; 177.

- Aibar S, González-Blas CB, Moerman T, Huynh-Thu VA, Imrichova H, Hulselmans G, et al. SCENIC: single-cell regulatory network inference and clustering. Nat Methods. 2017; 14: 1083-6.
- Sun Y, Wu L, Zhong Y, Zhou K, Hou Y, Wang Z, et al. Single-cell landscape of the ecosystem in early-relapse hepatocellular carcinoma. Cell. 2021; 184.
- Huang X-Z, Pang M-J, Li J-Y, Chen H-Y, Sun J-X, Song Y-X, et al. Single-cell sequencing of ascites fluid illustrates heterogeneity and therapy-induced evolution during gastric cancer peritoneal metastasis. Nat Commun. 2023; 14: 822.
- Wu T, Hu E, Xu S, Chen M, Guo P, Dai Z, et al. clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. Innovation (Camb). 2021; 2: 100141.
- Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005; 102: 15545-50.
- Jin S, Guerrero-Juarez CF, Zhang L, Chang I, Ramos R, Kuan C-H, et al. Inference and analysis of cell-cell communication using CellChat. Nat Commun. 2021; 12: 1088.
- Qiu X, Mao Q, Tang Y, Wang L, Chawla R, Pliner HA, et al. Reversed graph embedding resolves complex single-cell trajectories. Nat Methods. 2017; 14: 979-82.
- Gulati GS, Sikandar SS, Wesche DJ, Manjunath A, Bharadwaj A, Berger MJ, et al. Single-cell transcriptional diversity is a hallmark of developmental potential. Science. 2020; 367: 405-11.
- Zhang L, Yu X, Zheng L, Zhang Y, Li Y, Fang Q, et al. Lineage tracking reveals dynamic relationships of T cells in colorectal cancer. Nature. 2018; 564: 268-72.
- Feldman RD, Limbird LE. GPER (GPR30): A Nongenomic Receptor (GPCR) for Steroid Hormones with Implications for Cardiovascular Disease and Cancer. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2017; 57: 567-84.
- Hewagama A, Patel D, Yarlagadda S, Strickland FM, Richardson BC. Stronger inflammatory/cytotoxic T-cell response in women identified by microarray analysis. Genes Immun. 2009; 10: 509-16.
- Intlekofer AM, Takemoto N, Wherry EJ, Longworth SA, Northrup JT, Palanivel VR, et al. Effector and memory CD8+ T cell fate coupled by T-bet and eomesodermin. Nat Immunol. 2005; 6: 1236-44.
- Pearce EL, Mullen AC, Martins GA, Krawczyk CM, Hutchins AS, Zediak VP, et al. Control of effector CD8+ T cell function by the transcription factor Eomesodermin. Science. 2003; 302: 1041-3.
- Atreya I, Schimanski CC, Becker C, Wirtz S, Dornhoff H, Schnürer E, et al. The T-box transcription factor eomesodermin controls CD8 T cell activity and lymph node metastasis in human colorectal cancer. Gut. 2007; 56: 1572-8.
- Rao RR, Li Q, Odunsi K, Shrikant PA. The mTOR kinase determines effector versus memory CD8+ T cell fate by regulating the expression of transcription factors T-bet and Eomesodermin. Immunity. 2010; 32: 67-78.
- 32. Balkwill F. Tumour necrosis factor and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2009; 9: 361-71.
- Liu ZG. Molecular mechanism of TNF signaling and beyond. Cell Res. 2005; 15: 24-7.
- Napetschnig J, Wu H. Molecular basis of NF- κ B signaling. Annu Rev Biophys. 2013; 42: 443-68.
- Medler J, Kucka K, Wajant H. Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor 2 (TNFR2): An Emerging Target in Cancer Therapy. Cancers (Basel). 2022; 14.
- Kim EY, Priatel JJ, Teh S-J, Teh H-S. TNF receptor type 2 (p75) functions as a costimulator for antigen-driven T cell responses *in vivo*. J Immunol. 2006; 176: 1026-35.
- Kim EY, Teh HS. TNF type 2 receptor (p75) lowers the threshold of T cell activation. J Immunol. 2001; 167: 6812-20.
- Ye L-L, Wei X-S, Zhang M, Niu Y-R, Zhou Q. The Significance of Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor Type II in CD8+ Regulatory T Cells and CD8+ Effector T Cells. Front Immunol. 2018; 9: 583.
- Mhaidly R, Mechta-Grigoriou F. Role of cancer-associated fibroblast subpopulations in immune infiltration, as a new means of treatment in cancer. Immunol Rev. 2021; 302: 259-72.
- Nurmik M, Ullmann P, Rodriguez F, Haan S, Letellier E. In search of definitions: Cancer-associated fibroblasts and their markers. Int J Cancer. 2020; 146: 895-905.
- 41. Chen X, Song E. Turning foes to friends: targeting cancer-associated fibroblasts. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2019; 18.
- Gentric G, Mechta-Grigoriou F. Tumor Cells and Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts: An Updated Metabolic Perspective. Cancers (Basel). 2021; 13.
- Nicolas AM, Pesic M, Engel E, Ziegler PK, Diefenhardt M, Kennel KB, et al. Inflammatory fibroblasts mediate resistance to neoadjuvant therapy in rectal cancer. Cancer Cell. 2022; 40.
- Matziari M, Dive V, Yiotakis A. Matrix metalloproteinase 11 (MMP-11; stromelysin-3) and synthetic inhibitors. Med Res Rev. 2007; 27: 528-52.
- Liu X, Meng L, Li X, Li D, Liu Q, Chen Y, et al. Regulation of FN1 degradation by the p62/SQSTM1-dependent autophagy-lysosome pathway in HNSCC. Int J Oral Sci. 2020; 12: 34.
- 46. Nan P, Dong X, Bai X, Lu H, Liu F, Sun Y, et al. Tumor-stroma TGF- $\beta$  1-THBS2 feedback circuit drives pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma progression via integrin  $\alpha$  v $\beta$  3/CD36-mediated activation of the MAPK pathway. Cancer Lett. 2022; 528: 59-75.

- Yang K-D, Wang Y, Zhang F, Li Q-L, Luo B-H, Feng D-Y, et al. CAF-derived midkine promotes EMT and cisplatin resistance by upregulating lncRNA ST7-AS1 in gastric cancer. Mol Cell Biochem. 2022; 477: 2493-505.
- Zhang D, Ding L, Li Y, Ren J, Shi G, Wang Y, et al. Midkine derived from cancer-associated fibroblasts promotes cisplatin-resistance via up-regulation of the expression of lncRNA ANRIL in tumour cells. Sci Rep. 2017; 7: 16231.
- Zhang L, Zhang C, Xing Z, Lou C, Fang J, Wang Z, et al. Fibronectin 1 derived from tumor-associated macrophages and fibroblasts promotes metastasis through the JUN pathway in hepatocellular carcinoma. Int Immunopharmacol. 2022; 113: 109420.
- Deng H, Wen C, Jiang S, Yu Y, Zhao J, Zhang B. Single-cell analysis reveals one cancer-associated fibroblasts subtype linked to metastasis in breast cancer: MXRA5 as a potential novel marker for prognosis. Am J Cancer Res. 2024; 14: 526-44.