

International Journal of Medical Sciences

2025; 22(8): 1944-1957. doi: 10.7150/ijms.102646

Research Paper

How telomere maintenance affects endometriosis development: a preliminary study

Xiaoling Zhao¹², Weimin Kong^{1^{III}}, Dan Luo¹, Yunkai Xie¹, He Zhang¹

- 1. Department of Gynecology, Beijing Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital, Capital Medical University. Beijing Maternal and Child Health Care Hospital, Beijing, China.
- 2. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China.

Corresponding author: Weimin Kong, E-mail address: kwm1967@ccmu.edu.cn; ORCID: 0000-0002-4429-3242; Telephone number: +8613146909938 11; Address: Beijing Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital, Capital Medical University, No. 17 Qihelou Street, Dongcheng District, Beijing 100006.

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See https://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2024.08.22; Accepted: 2024.12.18; Published: 2025.03.24

Abstract

Background: Endometriosis results in dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia, chronic pelvic pain and infertility in reproductive-age women. However, no effective treatment methods have been applied to the disease, and the pathogenesis of endometriosis is unclear.

Purpose: This study was performed to investigate the association between telomere maintenance and endometriosis development.

Materials and methods: The telomere length of the postmenopausal endometria, eutopic endometria and their matched ectopic lesions in the proliferative and secretory phases was detected using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) methods, and the effect of telomere length maintenance on the proliferation of endometrial cells derived from endometriotic patients was determined by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay with BIBR1532 treatment. Then all of the telomere maintenance genes were extracted from the Telnet database, and bioinformatics analysis was performed to uncover the role of telomere maintenance genes in endometriosis development.

Results: Telomere length was longer in endometriotic patients' eutopic endometria during the proliferative and secretory phases, and treatment with a telomerase inhibitor inhibited the proliferation of epithelial cells and stromal cells. Furthermore, the telomere maintenance genes were enriched in several hormone-related pathways, with several genes differentially expressed between normal endometria and endometria derived from endometriotic patients. The nomogram constructed based on telomere maintenance genes also displayed good predictive value.

Conclusions: Telomere maintenance may contribute to the development of endometriosis, with several related genes involved.

Keywords: Endometriosis; Hormones; Nomograms; Telomere

Introduction

Endometriosis is a chronic disease characterized by the presence of viable endometrial cells outside of the uterus [1, 2]. Dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia, chronic pelvic pain and infertility are common symptoms of endometriosis, affecting 10% of reproductive-age women [1, 3]. The incidence of endometriosis is 50% in women with infertility and 50-80% in women with pelvic pain [1, 3]. Currently, the pathophysiology of endometriosis is not well understood, and treatment protocols are not perfect.

Telomeres are nucleoprotein structures at the

end of each chromosome that can maintain genome stability [4, 5]. Telomeres shorten as cells divide, thereby resulting in arrested cell growth or cell death when they become too short [4, 5]. It is postulated that telomere maintenance is vital for the ectopic survival of endometrial cells. Telomere length is reported to be longer during the secretory phase in the eutopic endometria of women with endometriosis than that in normal endometria [6]. Compared with normal endometria, higher levels of telomerase activity and telomerase components, which are helpful for maintaining telomere length, can also be observed in the eutopic endometria of women with endometriosis [6-8]. However, the differences in telomere length between eutopic endometria of endometriosis patients and that of control women during proliferative phases remain unclear, and the telomere length changes in ectopic lesions are unknown. Therefore, in this study, we collected samples from endometriosis patients and control women, including normal endometria, eutopic endometria of endometriosis patients and their matched ectopic lesions during the proliferative phase and secretory phase, to explore the variations in telomere length in different tissues. Moreover, cellular experiments were also performed to investigate the roles of telomere maintenance in endometriotic cells.

Telomere maintenance is a complex process involving multiple genes [9, 10]. The rapid development of sequencing technology and the emergence of bioinformatics analysis and public databases provide us with the opportunity to obtain a massive amount of gene information. Therefore, in this study, we extracted genes associated with telomere maintenance from the Telnet database and investigated the involvement of telomere maintenance in the development of endometriosis based on datasets derived from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, which may improve our understanding of the pathogenesis of endometriosis and provide new targets for endometriosis therapy.

Methods

Study population

The samples for telomere length detection were obtained from patients with indications for hysterectomy at Beijing Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital from January 2018 to December 2021. Normal endometria, including 6 postmenopausal endometria, 6 proliferative endometria and 6 secretory endometria, were collected from patients with grade III cervical intraepithelial neoplasia or stage IA1 cervical cancer. Ectopic lesions and matched eutopic endometrial samples were collected from 6 patients with endometriosis combined with grade III cervical intraepithelial neoplasia or cervical cancer stage IA1. The pathological diagnosis was confirmed postoperatively. All patients had normal menstrual cycles and didn't receive any hormone therapy. Endometrial samples were dated using histological criteria, the date of the last menstrual period and hormone profiles. The samples used in this study were approved by the Beijing Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital affiliated with the Capital Medical University Ethics Committee (approval

number: 2019-KY-002-01). Written informed consent was obtained from each subject, and all experiments conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Telomere length detection by FISH

Telomere length was measured using the method described by Fishbein [11] with some modifications. The isolated tissues were formalin-fixed and embedded to form paraffin sections. Then the slides were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in a graded ethanol series. The slides were treated for 5 minutes at 37°C with 10 µg/mL proteinase K (Sigma). Slides were probed using a PNA FISH probe (1:100 dilution) (Panagene F1002-5) at 42°C overnight. Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (1:750) (Invitrogen) and incubated for 10 min at room temperature away from light. After blocking with anti-fluorescence quenching blocker solution, the sections were observed under a Nikon inverted fluorescence microscope, and images were collected. Mean fluorescence intensity, which is calculated by Image J, was used to quantify the telomere length. Mean fluorescence intensity is equal to the sum of the fluorescence intensities in the region / the area of the region.

Cell viability assay

Primary endometrial cells were isolated using endometrial samples derived from endometriosis patients of reproductive age according to the method described by Chen [12]. The samples obtained in the hospital were preserved in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at 4 °C and transferred to the laboratory. Then the samples were cut into 1 mm³ pieces and digested phosphate-buffered saline with containing collagenase type I (1 mg/mL, 15 U/mg) and 1% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin for 1 hour in an orbital shaker at 37°C. Tissue residue was removed by filtration through cell strainers with 100 µm pores (BD Bioscience), and then the stromal cells and epithelial cells were separated through strainers with 40 µm pores (BD Bioscience). Endometrial epithelial cells were collected from the pellet in sieves and cultured in defined keratinocyte serum-free medium (DKSFM; Gibco). The filtrate was centrifuged at 1200 g for 5 min, and the supernatant was discarded. Then, endometrial stromal cells were suspended and cultured in DMEM/F12 with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Corning) and 1% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin.

Endometrial stromal cells were plated and grown in 96-well plates at a concentration of 4000 cells/well for 24 h, while endometrial epithelial cells were plated and grown in 96-well plates at a concentration of 5 clumps/well for 7 days. The cells were subsequently treated with varying doses of BIBR1532 for 48 h. MTT (5 mg/ml) was added to 96-well plates at 5 μ l/well, followed by an additional hour of incubation. Then, 100 μ l of DMSO was used to terminate the MTT reaction, and the absorbance was read at 490 nm. The effect of BIBR1532 was calculated as a percentage of untreated controls. The experiment was repeated 3 times with cells of different origins.

Telomere maintenance gene identification

More than 2000 telomere maintenance-related genes derived from humans and yeast are included in the Telnet database (http://www.cancertelsys.org/telnet/), which also assigns each gene a Telnet score to indicate how important it is for telomere maintenance [13]. The maximum Telnet score is 10.

Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network construction and enrichment analysis

The PPI network of the genes was built using stringApp v1.5.1 in Cytoscape software v3.7.1 (https://apps.cytoscape.org), with an interaction score determined as 0.7 [14]. The clusters were established by using the Molecular Complex Detection (MCODE) plug-in with the default parameters to identify the important modules of the PPI network [15].

CytoHubba, a plug-in of Cytoscape software, was used to rank nodes and screen the hub genes. Because too many genes with the same score were calculated by maximal clique centrality (MCC), EcCentricity and ClusteringCoefficient, only nine topological algorithms (density of maximum neighborhood component [DMNC], maximum neighborhood component [MNC], degree,) were used in this study [16].

The enrichment analysis was also performed by Cytoscape software to screen the pathways in which the genes were involved, including KEGG pathways, wikipathways and reactome pathways, using plug-in string enrichment [14].

Data collection and DEG identification

The gene expression profiles of GSE51981, GSE120103, GSE37837 and GSE7305 were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database.

GSE51981 was produced with the GPL570 containing platform, 34 normal endometria (proliferation phase, n=20; secretory phase, n=14) and endometria from endometriotic 77 patients (proliferation phase, n=29; secretory phase, n=46; unknown=2) [17]. Moreover, 49 patients suffered from moderate/severe endometrioma and 28 patients suffered from minimal/mild endometrioma [17].

GSE120103 was produced with the GPL6480 platform, containing 18 normal endometria and 18 endometria from endometriosis patients with stage **IV** ovarian endometriosis during the secretory phase [18].

GSE37837 was produced with the GPL6480 platform, containing paired eutopic and ectopic endometrial samples obtained during the proliferative (n=13) and secretory (n=5) phases of the menstrual cycle, among which 8 patients suffered from moderate endometrioma and 10 patients suffered from severe endometrioma [19].

GSE7305 was produced with the GPL570 platform, containing 10 ovarian endometriosis and 10 matched control endometria from the same patients (proliferative phase, n=2; secretory phase, n=8) [20].

Diagnostic model establishment

The nomogram was created in R using the rms package and the training dataset GSE51981 [21]. The test dataset, GSE120103, with 36 samples, was used to validate the model. The genes included in the diagnostic model analysis were chosen by the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression using the glmnet package [22]. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve calculated by the pROC package was used to evaluate the efficacy of the diagnostic model [21].

Results

Telomere length differences across eutopic endometria and ectopic lesions

Telomere length was assessed using FISH methods (Figure 1A-B). Although positive telomere detected in both staining was preand postmenopausal endometrial cells, the telomere length of the endometria in postmenopausal women significantly shorter than that of was the premenopausal women (P<0.05). Furthermore, compared to normal endometria during the proliferative and secretory phases, telomere length was longer in endometriotic patients' eutopic endometria (P<0.05). However, there were no significant differences in telomere length between eutopic endometria of endometriosis patients and their matched ectopic lesions. The telomere length in ectopic lesions derived from patients during proliferative phases was longer than that in the normal proliferative endometria (P<0.05), while the telomere length between ectopic lesions derived from patients during secretory phases and normal secretory endometria did not show a significant difference.



Figure 1. Telomere length examination in different populaitons. (A) Representative images of telomere staining in different populations. (B) The corresponding histograms of positive telomere staining in different populations. Scale bar 50 µm. * p<0.01; **** p<0.01; **** p<0.001; **** p<0.001.

Table 1. The information of 4 clusters scoring above 10.

Module	Score	Nodes	Edges	Genes	Pathways
Cluster I	50.929	57	1426	XBP1; WDR31; TPT1; SRP14; SERBP1; SEC61A1; RPSA; RPS8; RPS7; RPS6; RPS4X; RPS28; RPS27L; RPS27A; RPS27; RPS23; RPS21; RPS20; RPS2; RPS19; RPS18; RPS17; RPS16; RPS15A; RPS14; RPS11; RPS10-NUDT3; RPS10; RPLP2; RPLP1; RPL36; RPL34; RPL31; RPL272; RPL272; RPL261; RPL26; RPL234; RPL23; RPL21; RPL19; RPL17; RPL13; RPL12; RPL10A; RPL10; RACK1; PA2G4; NACA; MRT04; MRPS11; LYAR; GSPT1; EIF5B; EIF3G; EEF1D; CARS	Eukaryotic translation elongation; SRP-dependent cotranslational protein targeting to membrane; GTP hydrolysis and joining of the 60S ribosomal subunit;
Cluster II	29.958	145	2157	XRCC5; XRCC4; XRCC1; WDHD1; WBSCR22; TSR1; TRIP13; TP73; TP53; TOP2A; SP011; SMARCE1; SMARCC2; SMARCA5; SMARCA4; SIRT2; SIRT1; SHFM1; RUNX2; RTEL1; RPP25; RPA3; RPA2; RNASEH2A; RMI2; RMI1; RFC5; RFC3; RFC2; RELA; RBB5; RBBP4; RAD54L; RAD18; RAD1; PSME3; PSMD6; PSMD6; PSMD5; PSMD13; PSMD12; PSMD11; PSMD10; PSMC4; PSMC3; PSMB5; PSMB6; PSMB6; PSMB5; PSMA1; PSMA2; PSMA1; PRM5; PRM1; PRKDC; PRIM2; PSIM5; POLE2; POLE; POLD1; POLA2; POLA1; PCNA; ORC6; ORC5; ORC4; ORC3; ORC2; ORC1; NFKB1; NEK2; MUS81; MSH6; MSH3; MSH2; MLH1; MDC1; MCM7; MCM6; MCM5; MCM4; MCM3; MCM2; LIG4; LIG3; LIG1; KMT2C; KMT2A; KIF4A; KAT2A; HUS1; HNRNPD; HMMR; HISTH44; HIST4H45; HIST2H4A; HIST1H4L; HIST1H4J; HIST1H4J; HIST1H41; HIST1H41; HIST1H42; HIST1H42; HIST1H42; HIST1H44; HIST1H44; FORC6; ORC61; ARC7; CHTF18; CHEK1; CENPF; CDK2; CDCA8; CDC45; CCC25A; CCNA2; FAAP24; AURKB; AURKA; ATRIP; ASH2L; ASF16; ARID1A; ACTB	Cell cycle; DNA replication; Synthesis of DNA;
Cluster III	28.929	85	1215	XRCC6; XRCC3; XRCC2; WRN; WDR5; TP53BP1; TOPBP1; TOP3A; SUPT16H; SSRP1; SIRT5; RUVBL2; RUVBL1; RPA1; RFC4; RFC1; RBBP8; RAD9A; RAD52; RAD51C; RAD51B; RAD51AP1; RAD51; RAD50; RAD17; POLH; POLE4; POLE3; POLD4; POLD3; POLD2; PALB2; NBN; MRE11; KOM4A; KAT2B; INO80B; INO80B; INO30; HIST3H3; HIST1H31; HIST1H31; HIST1H34; HIST1H34; HIST1H35; HIST1H3E; HIST1H3D; HIST1H3; HIST1H3; HIST1H31; HIST1H3H; HIST1H3E, HIST1H32L; HIST1H2AA; H3F3A; H2AFY; H2AFX; FBL; FANCL; FANCG; FANCF; FANCE; FANCD2; FANCC2; FANCB; FANCA; FAP100; EXO1; ERCC4; DNA2; DDB1; CREBBP; CHEK2; CCT7; CCT5; CCT4; CCT2; BRIP1; BRCA2; BRCA1; BLM; BARD1; ATR; ATM; ASF1A; ACTF3	DNA repair; DNA double-strand break repair; HDR through homologous recombination (HRR) or single strand annealing (SSA);
Cluster IV	13.214	85	555	ZSWIM7; WRAP53; TOP1; TERT; TEP1; TARDBP; TAF15; SYNCRIP; SSB; SRRM2; SNRPD3; SNRPB; SNRPA1; SNRP40; SMU1; SLX4; SF3B4; RRM1; RNF113A; RECQL4; RCOR1; RBM14; RBB77; RAD54B; RAD51D; RAD23B; RACGAP1; PTGES3; PRPF4; PRPF38A; PRPF31; PRPF19; PMS2; PLK1; PAXIP1; PARP1; PABPC1; NVL; NOP10; NOC4L; NHP2; NHEJ1; NDC80; NCAPG; MMI67; MAGOHB; LSM8; LSM7; LSM11; KDM1A; ILF3; ILF2; HNRNPU; HNRNPM; HNRNPK; HNRNPC; HNRNPA2B1; HNRNPA1; HNRNPA0; GAR1; ERH; ERCC5; ERCC3; ERCC2; EME1; ELAVL1; ECT2; DKC1; DHX9; DHX16; DX39B; DCLRE1C; DCLRE1B; DCLRE1A; CHAF1B; CDK1; CDC20; CCT8; CCNB1; CBX5; CBX3; CBX1; ATAD2; APEX1; ALYREF	mRNA splicing - major pathway; Metabolism of RNA; Processing of capped intron-containing pre- mRNA.

Telomere maintenance affects the proliferation of endometrial cells derived from endometriotic patients

Epithelial cells and stromal cells are the main components of the eutopic endometria and ectopic lesions [1]. To investigate the roles of telomere maintenance in endometriotic cells, we isolated cells from the eutopic endometria of patients with endometriosis and dosed them with BIBR1532 for 48 h. BIBR1532 is a noncompetitive small-molecule telomerase inhibitor that can prevent telomere lengthening [23]. The results showed that the viability of epithelial cells and stromal cells was decreased with the treatment of BIBR1532 for 48 h (Figure 2A-B). Moreover, after treatment with 100 µm BIBR1532 for 48 h, the morphology of the epithelial cells derived from the patients with endometriosis was altered while no visible change was found in stromal cells (Figure 2C).

PPI network analysis of telomere maintenance genes

A total of 2093 genes were extracted from the Telnet database, and then a PPI network with 2053 nodes and 23727 edges was created (Figure 3). Four clusters within the PPI network scoring above 10 were identified using MCODE (Figure 4A-D), and the information of the clusters was displayed in Table 1.

CytoHubba was used to rank nodes. After the duplicated genes were eliminated, 33 hub genes were selected from the top 10 genes in each method. The

significance of the hub genes for telomere maintenance was displayed in Table 2.

The enrichment analysis of telomere maintenance genes

Endometriosis is a hormone-dependent disease, so we filtered steroid hormone signaling pathways among the enriched pathways. The results showed that there were 5 estrogen-related pathways, 1 progesterone-related pathway, 1 androgen-related pathway and 1 FSH-related pathway, with 133 genes identified (Table 3). The expression profiles of the 133 genes in the GSE51981 and GSE120103 datasets were then investigated. Only 8 genes were significantly differentially expressed, with an adj. p value<0.05 and log FC|>1 in GSE51981 and GSE120103, among which FOS, FOSB, SRC and MAPK3 were increased in the eutopic endometria of endometriotic patients, while AR, MAPK1 and HSP90B1 were decreased in the eutopic endometria of endometriotic patients (Table 4). Then, the expression of the 133 genes in ectopic lesions was analyzed in datasets GSE37837 and GSE7305. None of them were found to be significantly differentially expressed between ectopic lesions and their matched eutopic endometria derived from the same patients (data not shown). Among the 7 genes differentially expressed between the eutopic endometria of endometriotic patients and normal endometria, only the expression of FOS and FOSB in ectopic lesions was higher than that in eutopic endometria derived from the same patients. However, a significant difference in FOSB and FOS between ectopic lesions and their matched eutopic endometria was observed only in GSE37837 (Table 5). The steroid

hormone signaling pathways in which these 7 genes were involved were displayed in Table 6.



Figure 2. The response of the isolated human endometrial cells to the telomerase inhibitor BIBR1532 after treatment for 48 h. (A-B) Treatment with BIBR1532 for 48 h significantly inhibited the viability of endometrial epithelial cells (A) and stramol cells (B). (C) Representative images of epithelial cells and stromal cells treated with 100 μ m BIBR1532 for 48 h. Scale bar 50 μ m. * p<0.05; ** p<0.01; **** p<0.001;



Figure 3. PPI network construction of telomere maintenance genes. PPI, protein-protein interaction.



Figure 4. PPI network analysis. (A-D) Four clusters within PPI network scoring above 10. PPI, protein-protein interaction

The utility of telomere maintenance genes in the diagnosis of endometriosis

LASSO regression analysis was used to screen the genes from all the telomere maintenance genes, and EXO1, FOS, KDM4A, SMARCC1, and SULT1C2 were identified (Figure 5A-B). The information and expression of the genes in the four datasets were displayed in Tables 7-8. Then, based on these results, a nomogram model was built (Figure 5C). To test the diagnostic efficacy and the power of the nomogram model, the ROC of the training dataset and test dataset was plotted, yielding an AUC of 0.993 on the training samples and 0.883 on the test samples (Figure 5D). The calibration plots for the training and test datasets were also shown (Figure 5E-F).

Discussion

Telomeres are nucleoprotein structures found at the ends of chromosomes that contain double-stranded tandem repeats of TTAGGG [4, 5]. The main function of telomeres is to maintain chromosomal stability, which is essential for cell viability [4, 5]. Our previous studies showed that the inhibition of telomere maintenance by telomerase inhibitors can inhibit the growth, migration and invasion of endometrial stromal cells derived from patients with endometriosis [24]. In this study, we also found that the viability of endometrial epithelial cells and stromal cells derived from the endometria of endometriotic patients was significantly decreased with the treatment of telomerase inhibitor BIBR1532.

Although telomere-based cancer research has long been reported, the studies between telomere and endometriosis were lacking and inconsistent [25, 26]. Sasamoto et al. [27] found that shorter telomere length in peripheral blood leukocytes was associated with an increased risk of endometriosis, and they believed that it is inflammation that contributes to telomere attrition. This is consistent with the findings of Gleason et al., who found that leukocyte telomeres were 1% shorter every year since endometriosis diagnosis, accompanied by elevated C-reactive protein [28]. However, both Bai C et al. [29] and Wang Y et al. [30] reported that the longer telomere length of peripheral blood leukocytes may increase the risk of endometriosis. Hapangama et al. [6] also reported that telomere length was significantly longer in the eutopic

endometria of women with endometriosis, attributing the development of ectopic lesions to the increased telomere length in the eutopic endometria.



Figure 5. Establishment of a diagnostic model for endometriosis. (A) LASSO coefficient profiles of the genes in the normal endometrium and eutopic endometrium tissue from the endometriosis patients. (B) Selection of the optimal parameter (lambda) in the LASSO model for the normal endometrium and eutopic endometrium tissue from the endometriosis patients. (C) Nomogram model established based on the LASSO regression results. (D) ROC curve of the diagnostic nomogram model for the training dataset (GSE1981) and test dataset (GSE120103). (E-F) The calibration plots for the nomogram based on training dataset (E) and test dataset (F). LASSO, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator; ROC curve, receiver operating characteristic curve.

Table 2. The information of 33 hub genes.

Gene symbol	Description	TM functions	TMM annotation	TM significance	TelNet Score
RPA1	Replication Protein A1	DNA repair / damage response; ALT associated PML nuclear bodies (APBs); DNA recombination;	ALT: repressing; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	validated	7
PCNA	Proliferating Cell Nuclear Antigen	DNA repair / damage response; ALT associated PML nuclear bodies (APBs); DNA replication; DNA recombination;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	validated	7
АТМ	Ataxia Telangiectasia Mutated	DNA repair / damage response; ALT associated PML nuclear bodies (APBs); Telomerase activity;	ALT: enhancing; Telomerase-mediated: enhancing	validated	6
CTNNB1	Catenin Beta 1	Gene regulation; Telomerase activity;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: enhancing	validated	6
HSP90AA1	Heat Shock Protein 90 Alpha Family Class A Member 1	Gene regulation; Telomerase activity;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: enhancing	validated	6
MYC	MYC Proto-Oncogene, BHLH Transcription Factor	Gene regulation; Telomerase activity;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: enhancing	validated	6
ESR1	Estrogen Receptor 1	Gene regulation; Telomerase activity;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: enhancing	validated	6
EXO1	Exonuclease 1	DNA repair / damage response; Extrachromosomal telomeric repeat formation;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	validated	6
RAD51	RAD51 Recombinase	DNA repair / damage response; DNA recombination;	ALT: enhancing; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	validated	5
TP53	Tumor Protein P53	Telomerase activity;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: repressing	validated	5
CHEK1	Checkpoint Kinase 1	DNA repair / damage response; Telomerase activity;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: enhancing	screened	3
HIST1H4F	Histone cluster 1, H4f	Histone modification / variants; Chromatin structure;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	screened	3
SMAD3	SMAD Family Member 3	Telomerase activity;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: repressing	predicted	2
RPLP1	Ribosomal Protein Lateral Stalk Subunit P1	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	screened	2
EEF1D	Eukaryotic Translation Elongation Factor 1 Delta	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	screened	2
RPL26L1	Ribosomal Protein L26 Like 1	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	screened	2
SRP14	Signal Recognition Particle 14	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	screened	2
SERBP1	SERPINE1 MRNA Binding Protein 1	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	screened	2
RPL36	Ribosomal Protein L36	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	screened	2
HDAC1	Histone Deacetylase 1	Histone modification / variants; Chromatin structure;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	predicted	2
BRCA1	Breast And Ovarian Cancer Susceptibility Protein 1	DNA repair / damage response;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	predicted	2
H2AFX	H2A histone family, member X	Histone modification / variants;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	screened	2
CDK1	Cyclin Dependent Kinase 1	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	screened	2
HSPA4	Heat Shock Protein Family A (Hsp70) Member 4	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	screened	2
FBL	Fibrillarin	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	screened	2
AKT1	AKT Serine/Threonine Kinase 1	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	screened	2
ACTB	Actin Beta	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	screened	2
WDR31	WD Repeat Domain 31	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	predicted	1
RPS10-NUDT3	RPS10-NUDT3 Readthrough	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	predicted	1
RPS27L	Ribosomal Protein S27 Like	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	predicted	1
RPL34	Ribosomal Protein L34	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	predicted	1
RPS27A	Ribosomal Protein S27a	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	predicted	1
EP300	E1A Binding Protein P300		ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	predicted	1

Table 3. The enriched hormone-related pathways.

Category	Description	FDR value	Genes
Reactome Pathways	Estrogen-dependent gene expression	3.10E-11	KDM4B, STAG2, POLR2I, USF2, DDX5, FOSB, JUND, CCNT1, YY1, CREBBP, EP300, KAT2B, ATF2, POLR2D, HIST1H2BA, RAD21, POLR2G, FOS, SMC1A, CARM1, SP1, HSP90AA1, MYB, SMC3, USF1, JUN, HSP90AB1, CDK9, HDAC1, HIST1H3D, HIST1H4C, GATA3, POLR2B, STAG1, GTF2A2, KDM1A, NRIP1, MOV10, ESR1, PRMT1, CBFB, H2AFX, KPNA2, GTF2A1, POLR2E, MYC, PTGES3, HIST1H2BB
WikiPathways	Androgen receptor signaling pathway	3.71E-11	SIRT1, STUB1, PIAS1, CREBBP, CCNE1, PRDX1, PIAS4, EP300, KAT2B, STAT3, RB1, RAD9A, ROCK2, SMARCE1, CARM1, SP1, SMAD3, ZMIZ1, CTNNB1, UBE2I, RAC1, JUN, RUNX2, HDAC1, SRC, DAXX, AR, FOXO1, SUMO1, PIAS3, SIN3A, ROCK1, KDM1A, RELA, CDKN1A, PARK7, BRCA1, RNF4, GNB2L1, AKT1, PIAS2, NR2C2
Reactome Pathways	ESR-mediated signaling	4.70E-10	KDM4B, MAPK1, STAG2, POLR2I, USF2, DDX5, FOSB, JUND, CCNT1, YY1, CREBBP, MAPK3, EP300, KAT2B, PIK3CA, ATF2, POLR2D, HIST1H2BA, CALM3, RAD21, NOS3, POLR2G, FOS, SMC1A, CARM1, SP1, HSP90AA1, MYB, ESR2, SMC3, USF1, JUN, HSP90AB1, CDK9, HDAC1, SRC, HIST1H3D, HIST1H4C, GATA3, POLR2B, STAG1, GTF2A2, KDM1A, NRIP1, XPO1, FOXO3, MOV10, ESR1, PRMT1, CBFB, H2AFX, KPNA2, FKBP5, AKT1, GTF2A1, POLR2E, MYC, PTGES3, HIST1H2BB
KEGG Pathways	Progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation	8.17E-05	MAPK12, MAPK1, AURKA, MAPK14, CDC25B, CCNB1, PKMYT1, MAPK3, PIK3CA, CDK2, CCNA2, PIK3CB, PLK1, CDC25A, HSP90AA1, ANAPC1, CDC16, HSP90AB1, PIK3CD, CDC23, FZR1, CDK1, MAPK8, MAD1L1, ANAPC7, ANAPC4, CDC27, AKT1
KEGG Pathways	Estrogen signaling pathway	0.0015	MAPK1, PLCB2, MAPK3, PIK3CA, ATF2, KRT10, CALM2, PIK3CB, NOS3, HSP90B1, CALML6, FOS, HSPA6, CALML3, SP1, HSP90AA1, PLCB1, ESR2, CREB5, JUN, HSP90AB1, SRC, PIK3CD, PLCB4, CALML5, ESR1, CALML4, PLCB3, FKBP5, AKT1
WikiPathways	Follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) signaling pathway	0.0088	MAPK1, MAPK14, MAPK3, APPL1, RPS6KB2, HIST3H3, SRC, FOXO1, RPS6, AKT1
WikiPathways	Estrogen signaling pathway	0.0102	MAPK1, NFKB1, MAPK14, PIK3CA, FOS, SP1, JUN, ESR1, AKT1
WikiPathways	Estrogen receptor pathway	0.0251	PDK4, STAT3, PCK1, SP1, JUN, ESR1

Gene	GSE	GSE51981		20103			тм	TelNet
symbol	Log FC	Adj.P.Val	Log FC	Log FC Adj.P.Val		IMM annotation	significance	Score
FOS	4.794074	9.25E-12	4.622133	0.002875	Gene regulation; Telomerase activity;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	validated	6
AR	-1.08169	0.000313	-1.60164	0.014033	Gene regulation; Telomerase activity; DNA replication;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: repressing	validated	6
FOSB	4.947573	2.38E-11	5.557011	0.000259	Telomerase activity;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	predicted	2
SRC	1.013092	2.44E-05	2.669149	0.008144	Telomerase activity;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: repressing	screened	2
МАРК3	1.284965	1.17E-07	1.442821	0.00352	Telomerase activity;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: enhancing	screened	2
MAPK1	-1.01368	1.45E-07	-1.56641	0.004229	Telomerase activity;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: enhancing	screened	2
HSP90B1	-1.60956	3.7E-10	-2.55854	8.95E-05	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	screened	2
PLK1	1.204256	0.000696	-1.547	0.002151	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	predicted	1

Table 5. The expression of hormone-related genes in GSE37837 and GSE7305.

Gene	GSE	37837	GSE7305		
symbol	Log FC	Adj.P.Val	Log FC	Adj.P.Val	
FOS	0.936483	0.047474	1.397432069	0.658003278	
AR	-0.08547	0.76709	0.43128177	0.795774426	
FOSB	1.372326	0.029875	0.451794911	0.854435117	
SRC	0.05312	0.593419	0.171556604	0.867544076	
MAPK3	-0.00547	0.969811	0.004469383	0.996765288	
MAPK1	0.00374	0.969294	0.133466273	0.899670507	
HSP90B1	-0.54016	0.000513	2.363247856	0.563173782	
PLK1	-0.78007	6.48E-05	0.06583776	0.98114269	

Table 6. The telomere maintenance genes involved in steroid hormone signalings.

Category	Description	FOS	FOSB	SRC	MAPK3	AR	MAPK1	HSP90B1
Reactome Pathways	Estrogen-dependent gene expression	V	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-
Reactome Pathways	ESR-mediated signaling	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-
KEGG Pathways	Estrogen signaling pathway	\checkmark	-		\checkmark	-	\checkmark	\checkmark
WikiPathways	Estrogen signaling pathway	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-
WikiPathways	Estrogen receptor pathway	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KEGG Pathways	Progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-
WikiPathways	Follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) signaling pathway	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-
WikiPathways	Androgen receptor signaling pathway	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-

Table 7. The information of diagnostic genes in GSE51981 and GSE120103.

Gene	GSE51981		GSE120103		The four stars		тм	TelNet
symbol	Log FC	Adj.P.Val	Log FC	Adj.P.Val	I M functions	I MM annotation	significance	cance Score
EXO1	-1.50432	5.05009E-11	-1.3772	0.00586	DNA repair / damage response; Extrachromosomal telomeric repeat formation;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	validated	6
FOS	4.794074	9.25E-12	4.622133	0.002875	Gene regulation; Telomerase activity;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	validated	6
KDM4A	-0.70522	6.08514E-07	-2.14533	0.012461	Histone modification / variants; Chromatin structure;	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	predicted	2
SULT1C2	-1.91193	3.02498E-12	1.142167	0.029587		ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: ambiguous	screened	2
SMARCC1	-0.70336	1.13831E-08	-2.29625	6E-05	-	ALT: ambiguous; Telomerase-mediated: repressing	predicted	1

Table 8. The expression of diagnostic genes in GSE37837 and GSE7305.

Gene	GSE	37837	GSE7305			
symbol	Log FC	Adj.P.Val	Log FC	Adj.P.Val		
EXO1	-0.93237	3.36E-05	-0.50002	0.629566		
FOS	0.936483	0.047474	1.397432069	0.658003278		
KDM4A	-0.27851	0.003224	-0.24044	0.896466		
SULT1C2	-0.11206	0.250573	-0.21104	0.878113		
SMARCC1	-0.64146	2.16E-07	-0.20943	0.846532		

In this study, we collected 42 samples, including postmenopausal endometria, eutopic endometria and ectopic lesions in the proliferative and secretory phases, to assess the differences in telomere length between endometrial tissues in endometriotic patients and those in control women. We found that, compared with normal endometria, the longer telomere length of endometriotic patients' endometria can be detected in both the proliferative and secretory phases, whereas no significant increase in telomere length was found in ectopic lesions. Combined with Sampson's retrograde menstruation theory [31], which postulated that retrograde menstruation grows outside of the uterus and results in endometriosis, we suggest that the telomere maintenance variants in endometrial tissues of endometriotic patients may originate in the eutopic endometria. The higher telomere length of endometrial-tissue fragments in refluxed menstrual blood may promote endometrial cells survival and contribute to the formation of ectopic lesions, while longer telomere lengths are not necessarily required for the maintenance of ectopic lesions.

Telomere length maintenance is a complex process, aided by both telomerase-mediated pathways and the alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT) pathways, with numerous genes involved [32]. To uncover the underlying mechanisms of telomere maintenance for endometriosis development at the molecular level, we screened telomere maintenance genes from the Telnet database. To date, 2093 genes have been found to be involved in telomere maintenance, with 23727 edges constructed even when the interaction score was set to 0.7. The functions of the main clusters of the network were also analyzed, which mainly correlated with DNA and RNA.

Endometriosis is a hormone-dependent disease [1, 33]. The progesterone resistance and estrogen dominance in the endometria of patients with endometriosis lead to the increased lesion growth and contribute to pelvic pain and infertility [1, 34]. Androgen can be converted to estrogen by aromatase, thereby increasing the local estrogen levels [35]. The roles of sex hormone receptors in endometriosis development were also investigated [36]. In this study, the results showed that the telomere maintenance genes were significantly enriched in sex hormone-related pathways, including 5 estrogen-related pathways, 1 progesterone-related pathway, 1 androgen-related pathway, and 1 FSH-related pathway, with a total of 133 genes related to telomere maintenance. The genes, including FOSB, FOS, SRC, MAPK3, AR, MAPK1, and HSP90B1, which

participate in telomere maintenance and sex hormone-related pathways, were also differentially expressed between eutopic endometria of endometriotic patients and normal endometria. However, the differential expression of these genes between ectopic lesions and their matched eutopic endometria derived from the same patients was not meaningful, suggesting that telomere maintenance may have more of an impact on the eutopic endometrium. This is also consistent with the results of telomere length detection.

Next, to test the diagnostic value of telomere maintenance, we screened five independent factors using LASSO regression, including EXO1, FOS, KDM4A, SMARCC1 and SULT1C2, among telomere maintenance genes. The results showed that there is a good predictive value of the model for endometriosis diagnosis, of which the AUC for the training dataset was 0.993 and the AUC for the test dataset was 0.883.

In this study, we have basically concluded that telomere maintenance may contribute to the development of endometriosis. And the gene sets that appear to have predictive value for endometriosis development were also identified. However, the roles of many telomere maintenance genes in the formation of endometriosis are unclear because of their ambiguous roles in endometriosis development and uncertain telomere maintenance functions. Some enhancing factors of telomere maintenance were decreased in the eutopic endometria of endometriotic patients, while some repressive factors were increased, such as SRC and MAPK1, which need to be further explored. Moreover, cyclic changes in the endometrium are a complex process involving alterations in hormonal and molecular signaling pathways. More precise grouping may also be required. Therefore, we need to collect more samples for further verification and to explore the underlying molecular mechanisms.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 81871142). We would like to thank the GEO database for providing their platforms and contributors for uploading their meaningful datasets.

Author contributions

Weimin Kong obtained ethical approval and conceived the study design. Dan Luo, He Zhang and Yunkai Xie collected the samples. Xiaoling Zhao carried out the experiments and was involved in manuscript preparation and revision. All authors approved the submitted final version of the manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

References

- Saunders PTK, Horne AW. Endometriosis: Etiology, pathobiology, and therapeutic prospects. Cell. 2021; 184: 2807-24.
- Wang Y, Nicholes K, Shih IM. The Origin and Pathogenesis of Endometriosis. Annu Rev Pathol. 2020; 15: 71-95.
- Taylor HS, Kotlyar AM, Flores VA. Endometriosis is a chronic systemic disease: clinical challenges and novel innovations. Lancet. 2021; 397: 839-52.
- Turner KJ, Vasu V, Griffin DK. Telomere Biology and Human Phenotype. Cells. 2019; 8: 73.
- Engin AB, Engin A. The Connection Between Cell Fate and Telomere. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2021; 1275: 71-100.
- Hapangama DK, Turner MA, Drury JA, Quenby S, Saretzki G, Martin-Ruiz C, et al. Endometriosis is associated with aberrant endometrial expression of telomerase and increased telomere length. Hum Reprod. 2008; 23: 1511-9.
- Kim CM, Oh YJ, Cho SH, Chung DJ, Hwang JY, Park KH, et al. Increased telomerase activity and human telomerase reverse transcriptase mRNA expression in the endometrium of patients with endometriosis. Human Reproduction. 2007; 22: 843-9.
- Alnafakh R, Choi F, Bradfield A, Adishesh M, Saretzki G, Hapangama DK. Endometriosis Is Associated with a Significant Increase in hTERC and Altered Telomere/Telomerase Associated Genes in the Eutopic Endometrium, an Ex-Vivo and In Silico Study. Biomedicines. 2020; 8: 588.
- Niewisch MR, Savage SA. An update on the biology and management of dyskeratosis congenita and related telomere biology disorders. Expert Rev Hematol. 2019; 12: 1037-52.
- Garus A, Autexier C. Dyskerin: an essential pseudouridine synthase with multifaceted roles in ribosome biogenesis, splicing, and telomere maintenance. RNA. 2021; 27: 1441-58.
- 11. Fishbein L, Khare S, Wubbenhorst B, DeSloover D, D'Andrea K, Merrill S, et al. Whole-exome sequencing identifies somatic ATRX mutations in pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas. Nat Commun. 2015; 6: 6140.
- Chen JC, Erikson DW, Piltonen TT, Meyer MR, Barragan F, McIntire RH, et al. Coculturing human endometrial epithelial cells and stromal fibroblasts alters cell-specific gene expression and cytokine production. Fertility and sterility. 2013; 100: 1132-43.
- Braun DM, Chung I, Kepper N, Deeg KI, Rippe K. TelNet a database for human and yeast genes involved in telomere maintenance. BMC Genet. 2018; 19: 32.
- Doncheva NT, Morris JH, Gorodkin J, Jensen LJ. Cytoscape StringApp: Network Analysis and Visualization of Proteomics Data. J Proteome Res. 2019; 18: 623-32.
- Bader GD, Hogue CW. An automated method for finding molecular complexes in large protein interaction networks. BMC Bioinformatics. 2003; 4: 2.
- Chin CH, Chen SH, Wu HH, Ho CW, Ko MT, Lin CY. cytoHubba: identifying hub objects and sub-networks from complex interactome. BMC Syst Biol. 2014; 8 Suppl 4: S11.
- Tamaresis JS, Irwin JC, Goldfien GA, Rabban JT, Burney RO, Nezhat C, et al. Molecular classification of endometriosis and disease stage using high-dimensional genomic data. Endocrinology. 2014; 155: 4986-99.
- Bhat MA, Sharma JB, Roy KK, Sengupta J, Ghosh D. Genomic evidence of Y chromosome microchimerism in the endometrium during endometriosis and in cases of infertility. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 2019; 17: 22.
- Khan MA, Sengupta J, Mittal S, Ghosh D. Genome-wide expressions in autologous eutopic and ectopic endometrium of fertile women with endometriosis. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 2012; 10: 84.
- Hever A, Roth RB, Hevezi P, Marin ME, Acosta JA, Acosta H, et al. Human endometriosis is associated with plasma cells and overexpression of B lymphocyte stimulator. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007; 104: 12451-6.
- Balachandran VP, Gonen M, Smith JJ, DeMatteo RP. Nomograms in oncology: more than meets the eye. The Lancet Oncology. 2015; 16: e173-e80.
- Waldmann P, Ferencakovic M, Meszaros G, Khayatzadeh N, Curik I, Solkner J. AUTALASSO: an automatic adaptive LASSO for genome-wide prediction. BMC Bioinformatics. 2019; 20: 167.
- Pascolo E, Wenz C, Lingner J, Hauel N, Priepke H, Kauffmann I, et al. Mechanism of human telomerase inhibition by BIBR1532, a synthetic, non-nucleosidic drug candidate. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277: 15566-72.
- Zhao X, Luo D, Liu T, Zhang H, Xie Y, Kong W. BIBR1532 Affects Endometrial Cell Proliferation, Migration, and Invasion in Endometriosis via Telomerase Inhibition and MAPK Signaling. Gynecologic and Obstetric Investigation. 2023; 88: 226-39.
- Lv Y, Zhang Y, Li X, Ren X, Wang M, Tian S, et al. Long telomere length predicts poor clinical outcome in esophageal cancer patients. Pathol Res Pract. 2017; 213: 113-8.
- Baydar DE, Ozen H, Geyik PO, Gurel B. Can telomere alterations predict biochemical recurrence in prostate adenocarcinoma? A preliminary study. Pathol Res Pract. 2010; 206: 700-4.

- 27. Missmer SA. Why so null? Methodologic necessities to advance endometriosis discovery. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2019; 33: 26-7.
- Gleason JL, Thoma ME, Zukerman Willinger N, Shenassa ED. Endometriosis and Uterine Fibroids and Their Associations with Elevated C-Reactive Protein and Leukocyte Telomere Length Among a Representative Sample of U.S. Women: Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999–2002. Journal of Women's Health. 2022; 31: 1020-8.
- Bai C, Shen Z, Qiu B, Zhang S. Leukocyte telomere length is associated with increased risk of endometriosis: a bidirectional two-sample Mendelian randomization study. Frontiers in Endocrinology. 2023; 14: 1272200.
- Wang Y, Sun F, Yue C, Man Q. Peripheral blood leukocyte Telomere length and endometriosis: A Mendelian randomization study. Heliyon. 2024; 10: e33854.
- Koninckx PR, Ussia A, Adamyan L, Wattiez A, Gomel V, Martin DC. Pathogenesis of endometriosis: the genetic/epigenetic theory. Fertil Steril. 2019; 111: 327-40.
- De Vitis M, Berardinelli F, Sgura A. Telomere Length Maintenance in Cancer: At the Crossroad between Telomerase and Alternative Lengthening of Telomeres (ALT). Int J Mol Sci. 2018; 19: 606.
- Vannuccini S, Clemenza S, Rossi M, Petraglia F. Hormonal treatments for endometriosis: The endocrine background. Rev Endocr Metab Disord. 2022; 23: 333-55.
- Marquardt RM, Kim TH, Shin JH, Jeong JW. Progesterone and Estrogen Signaling in the Endometrium: What Goes Wrong in Endometriosis? Int J Mol Sci. 2019; 20: 3822.
- Franca PRC, Lontra ACP, Fernandes PD. Endometriosis: A Disease with Few Direct Treatment Options. Molecules. 2022; 27: 4034.
- Zhao X, Kong W, Zhou C, Deng B, Zhang H, Guo H, et al. Bioinformatics-based analysis of the roles of sex hormone receptors in endometriosis development. International Journal of Medical Sciences. 2023; 20: 415-28.