

**Research Paper** 

## A novel prognostic signature of chemokines for survival and immune infiltration in kidney renal clear cell carcinoma

Jianming Weng<sup>⊠</sup>, Zhiyong Huang, Qiang Li, Yuzhen Huang, Shunping Chen

Department of Pathology, ZhangZhou Affiliated Hospital of FuJian Medical University, ZhangZhou city, Fujian Province 363000, China

Corresponding author: Jianming Weng, E-mail: wj\_ming2013@163.com; Department of Pathology, ZhangZhou Affiliated Hospital of FuJian Medical University, Zhangzhou city, Fujian Province 363000, China.

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See http://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2023.04.04; Accepted: 2023.06.08; Published: 2023.06.19

### Abstract

**Objective**: Studies have revealed the alteration of chemokines in the tumour microenvironment in renal clear cell carcinoma (KIRC), which is closely related with immune infiltration and the prognosis of patients with KIRC. This research aims to comprehensively clarify the signature of chemokines in KIRC and the correlation between chemokines and immune infiltration in the TME of KIRC.

**Methods**: The chemokine expression in KIRC were investigated by using multiple multiomics and bioinformatics tools. Hub-chemokines that were significantly related with the cancer stage and survival were identified. The role of hub-chemokines in the tumor microenvironment of KIRC was further assessed by using enrichment analysis, cancer-related pathway and immune infiltration analysis.

**Results:** A total of 20 chemokines were significantly elevated in KIRC. Based on the correlation with KIRC stages and survival, 13 hub-chemokines were identified. Among the hub-chemokines, the high expression of CXCL2, CXCL5 and CXCL13 were related with worse survival of KIRC patients. The hub-chemokines were associated with the activation of multiple cancer-related signaling pathways. The functions of hub-chemokines were mainly enriched in chemokine-mediated signaling pathway, immunocytes chemotaxis and chemokine activity. CCL4, CCL5, CXCL9, CXCL10 and CXCL11 were related with various types immune infiltration such as CD8<sup>+</sup>T cell, neutrophil, B cell and dendritic cell. Using the hub-chemokine CXCL10, multiple immune checkpoints including LAG3, CTLA-4 and PD-1 were identified.

**Conclusion**: Our research sheds light on the chemokines and their important role in promoting the tumor microenvironment of KIRC. The findings could provide more data about the prognosis prediction and treatment targets for KIRC.

Keywords: kidney renal clear cell carcinoma, chemokine, tumour microenvironment, immune infiltration, immune checkpoint

### 1. Introduction

Renal cancer represents one of the most frequently occurring primary tumors in the urological system, accounting for approximately 3% of adult malignant cancers [1]. Among all the histological subtypes of renal cancer, kidney renal clear cell carcinoma (KIRC) is the most common subtype, constituting up to 80% of all cases [2]. Despite that the majority of patients are at early stages of disease at diagnosis, up to one-third of them are diagnosed with metastases, and more than 25% might develop metastases after treatment [3]. In spite of recent development in molecular targeted treatments including anti-vascular endothelial growth factors and mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitors, *etc.*, improving the prognosis of KIRC patients remains a critical clinical challenge [4]. Therefore, investigating innovative potential biomarkers for prognostic estimation and individualized therapy is of utmost clinical importance.

The chemokines family are small secreted

1046

molecules that are important for inflammatory reactions and antitumour immunity. The chemokines can be produced by not only the macrophages, leukocytes and endothelial cells but also cancer cells. The chemokines can participate in the directional migration of immune cells such as T lymphocytes, monocytes and neutrophils, as well as modulate cancer-related angiogenesis and tumor cell metastasis [5, 6]. The tumor microenvironment is composed of soluble and cellular components and various cell types including tumor cells and immune cells embedded in an altered extracellular. Research on cancers has revealed altered chemokines in the tumor microenvironment, thus influencing cancer cells proliferation and metastasis [7, 8]. Yu et al. systematically examined the expression of CXC chemokines in colorectal cancer and observed that the expression of CXCL1-3, CXCL5, and CXCL8 were increased in colorectal cancers tissues than in colorectal tissues [9], suggesting that these chemokines can be used as potential treatment targets and prognostic indicators and that they might participate in the anti-tumor or tumorigenicity response. The cancer-promotion or -inhibition effects of chemokines are mainly due to the capacity to inhibit or enhance the reaction of the immune system [10]. To date, multiple risk signatures have been investigated in KIRC to understand the prognostic value of genes related to inflammation. However, the role of chemokines in KIRC has not yet been fully verified.

Therefore, in the present study, we aimed to systematically analyze the expression of the chemokine family and its association with hallmarks of KIRC. We also verified the relationship between chemokines and immunocytes infiltration in the tumor microenvironment, which would be of help to further understand the chemokine family and improve therapeutic designs and the accuracy of prognosis for patients with KIRC.

### 2. Methods

The study design is shown in **Figure 1**. A total of 41 chemokines were investigated in this study. Firstly, we evaluated the expression of these chemokines in KIRC and identified those with elevated expression levels. Then the hub-chemokines were identified by analyzing the correlation of chemokines and KIRC stage and survival. Lastly, to clarify the role of these hug-chemokines, we further performed the genetic alteration analysis, co-expression analysis, interaction analysis, pathway activity analysis, drug sensitivity analysis, enrichment analysis, as well as the relationship analysis with immune infiltration and immune checkpoints.

### 2.1 Expression of chemokines in KIRC

We explored the mRNA expression of chemokines in KIRC in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database by using GSCALite, a web server for gene set cancer analysis [11]. The fold change and P values of chemokines in KIRC as compared with normal control were calculated and collected. Then, the chemokine expression levels were further investigated in pan-cancer by using Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis, a web server for interactive analysis of 9,736 tumors and 8,587 normal samples from the TCGA and the GTEx projects [12]. Furthermore, the corresponding expression levels of chemokines and their expression levels in four major pathological stages were analysed. Furthermore, the protein expression of chemokines were evaluated by the immunohistochemical (IHC) staining of KIRC tumor tissues in the Human Protein Atlas (HPA) database.



## 2.2 Investigation of chemokines in the prognosis of KIRC

We explored the prognostic significance of chemokines in KIRC by using the Kaplan-Meier method in GEPIA. The difference of survival curves were analysed by log-rank test. Both overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) were analysed. The high and low expression group of each chemokine was separated by using the median expression value as the cut-of value.

To develop a prognostic signature based on chemokines, we downloaded the gene expression data of chemokines and survival information of patients with KIRC in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database by the Genomic Data Commons (GDC) data portal. Univariate COX regression analysis was performed in the TCGA-KIRC cohort to explore the chemokines that were significantly (P <0.05) related with KIRC survival by using the rms package in R software (version 4.3.0). LASSO penalty analysis was then performed to shrink the overfitting by using glmnet package in R. To construct a KIRC chemokine signature, multivariate COX regression model was constructed by using a stepwise process by using the *rms* packages in R. The hazard ratio (HR) for each chemokine was shown in a forest plot. The chemokine risk score for each individual was calculated based on the following formula: risk score =coefficient×(chemokine1 level) + coefficient× (chemokine2 level)+...+ coefficient×(chemokinen level). To assess the accuracy of the signature, all patients were split into the high and low risk groups using the median value of the chemokine risk score as the cut-off. The Kaplan-Meier curves of the two groups were plotted and compared by using the survminer package in R. The ROC (receiver operating characteristic) curves and calibration curves at 1-, 3and 5-year were plotted to estimate the reliability of the chemokine risk score by using pROC, timeROC and rms packages in R.

# 2.3 The genetic alteration, co-expression and interaction analysis of chemokines in KIRC

The genetic alterations of chemokines in KIRC including amplication, deep deletion, missense mutation, truncating mutation, *etc.*, were investigated by using cBioPortal, an online tool for interactive exploration of multidimensional cancer genomics data sets. The information of KIRC samples was obtained from TCGA, Firehouse Legacy and Tokyo, Nat Genet 2013 dataset. The co-expression of chemokines in KIRC were explored in TCGA cohort. By using the protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks analysis online tools including search tool for the retrieval of interacting genes/proteins (STRING)

and GeneMANIA, the potential interactions of the hub-chemokines were explored.

## 2.4 Cancer-related pathway and drug sensitivity analysis

The cancer-related pathways and chemokinesrelated drug sensitivity in KIRC samples were investigated by using GSCALite. Several famous cancer related pathways were investigated including apoptosis, PI3K/AKT, TSC/mTOR, RTK, EMT, hormone ER, DNA damage response, hormone AR, RAS/MAPK and cell cycle pathways. When analyzing cancer-related pathway, the high and low expression levels of genes were divided by using the median expression level as the cut-off. The pathway activity scores were defined with t test and FDR value. As for the drug sensitivity analysis, the molecules were collected from the Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC) database and analysed their relationship with hub-chemokines. The spearman coefficients and log10(FDR) were correlation calculated.

### 2.5 Enrichment analysis

For each hub-chemokine, the top five correlated genes were selected by using cBioPortal. The hub-chemokines and their correlated genes were integrated and used to perform enrichment analysis. Enrichment of hub-chemokines in KIRC was explored and visualized by using Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID), GeneMANIA and Metascape. In DAVID, the gene ontology (GO) including molecular function (MF), cellular component (CC) and biological process (BP) and Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway were analysed and visualized by using R. The hub-chemokines and their correlated genes were submitted to Metascape to perform pathway and process enrichment.

### 2.6 Immune infiltration analysis

The Tumor IMmune Estimation Resource (TIMER) was used for systematical analysis of immune infiltration related with chemokines [13]. To further evaluate the prognostic role of immune infiltration in KIRC, we explored the clinical relevance of tumor immune subsets in a multivariable Cox proportional hazard model. The hazard ratios (HR) and statistical significance (p value) were calculated and collected. The Kaplan-Meier plots for immune infiltrates were drawn to visualize the survival differences.

## **2.7** The correlation of hub-chemokines with immune checkpoints

In consideration of the expression and prog-

nostic significance of chemokines in KIRC, we selected specific hub-chemokines for the correlation analysis. The correlations between hub-chemokines and 12 common immune checkpoints were analysed in the TCGA database by using TIMER tool.

 Table 1. The mRNA levels of chemokines in kidney renal clear cell carcinoma

Chemokine	Fold change	P value	
CCL1*	1.70	0.01	
CCL2	1.23	0.165	
CCL3*	3.20	< 0.001	
CCL4*	5.93	< 0.001	
CCL5*	8.30	< 0.001	
CCL7	0.67	0.279	
CCL8	0.86	0.448	
CCL11	0.10	< 0.001	
CCL13	1.65	0.278	
CCL14	0.97	0.851	
CCL15*	1.88	< 0.001	
CCL16	0.99	0.965	
CCL17*	2.49	0.002	
CCL18*	90.77	0.01	
CCL19	0.88	0.771	
CCL20*	5.89	< 0.001	
CCL21	0.70	0.392	
CCL22*	2.13	< 0.001	
CCL23	0.34	< 0.001	
CCL24	1.31	0.389	
CCL25*	6.91	0.011	
CCL26	0.87	0.522	
CCL27	0.90	0.586	
CCL28*	3.06	< 0.001	
CXCL1	1.48	0.355	
CXCL2*	2.03	0.019	
CXCL3	0.88	0.628	
CXCL4	2.51	0.144	
CXCL5*	20.41	0.006	
CXCL6	0.79	0.523	
CXCL7	1.98	0.284	
CXCL8	0.79	0.542	
CXCL9*	14.56	< 0.001	
CXCL10*	11.93	< 0.001	
CXCL11*	14.10	< 0.001	
CXCL12	0.40	< 0.001	
CXCL13*	17.90	0.001	
CXCL14*	1.60	0.047	
CXCL16*	2.05	< 0.001	
CXCL17	0.41	0.344	
CX3CL1*	2.15	< 0.001	

\*: The asterisk indicates chemokines with fold change >1 and P value < 0.05.

## 3. Results

### 3.1 Expression of the chemokines in KIRC

As shown in **Figure 1** and **Table 1**, a total of 41 chemokines were investigated in KIRC, and 20 of these chemokines showed significantly increased mRNA levels with fold change > 1 and P value < 0.05 as compared with normal tissue. CCL18 showed the highest fold change (90.77) and CXCL14 the lowest (1.6) (**Table 1**). The expression levels of these 20 increased chemokines in KIRC were also explored in pan-cancers (**Figure 2A**). Although the fold change of CXCL14 compared with normal tissue was the lowest

among elevated chemokines, the absolute mRNA expression of CXCL14 was the highest in KIRC (**Figure 2A**). On the other hand, the CCL28, CXCL14 and CX3CL1 expression levels were higher than those in other tumours (**Figure 2A**). The expression profile plots of CCL28, CXCL14 and CX3CL1 expression in various tumours were shown in **Figure 2B**, **2C** and **2D**.

The 20 elevated chemokines were also explored in different pathologic stages, and the following chemokines showed significant relevance with pathologic stages: CCL1, CCL4, CCL5, CCL20, CCL25, CCL28, CXCL5, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11, CXCL13 and CX3CL1 (Figure 2E to 2P). CCL5, CXCL13 and CX3CL1 showed the most significant correlation with pathologic stages. While the other 8 chemokines showed no significant relation with four major pathologic stages of KIRC (Supplementary Figure S1). Furthermore, we evalued the protein level of several chemokines in KIRC tumor tissues by using the IHC staining results in the HPA database. As shown in **Figure S2**, there were obvious elevation of IHC staining density in CCL4, CCL5, CXCL5 and CXCL13. The expression of CX3CL1 and CXCL11 showed high level of positive staining in normal tissues, and the changes in KIRC were not significant.

## 3.2 Chemokines correlated with the prognosis of KIRC

We assessed the association of 20 elevated chemokines with the OS and DFS of KIRC. Based on the data from GEPIA, we found that KIRC patients with higher expression of CXCL2 (HR = 1.6, P = 0.005, Figure S3A), CXCL5 (HR = 1.6, P = 0.002, Figure S3B) and CXCL13 (HR = 1.4, P = 0.026, Figure S3C) exhibited lower OS rate than those with lower gene expression. As for DFS, CXCL2 showed no significant relevance (P = 0.52, Figure S3D), while higher expression of CXCL5 (HR = 2, P < 0.001, Figure S3E) and CXCL13 (HR = 1.7, P = 0.007, Figure S3F) was also significant risk factor. As shown in Figure 3A, we further constructed a prognostic signature (risk score) based on the expression of several critical chemokines in KIRC including CX3CL1, CXCL2, CCL1, CCL20, CXCL9, CXCL5 and CXCL11. The risk score can be calculated as follows: socre = (-0.2593)\*exp(CX3CL1) + 0.2106\*exp(CXCL2) + 0.1359\*exp(CCL1) 0.0619\*exp(CCL20) 0.2098\*exp(CXCL9) + 0.0495\*exp(CXCL5) + 0.3475\*exp(CXCL11) + 0.2628\*exp(CCL5). As shown in Figure 3B, the area under curve (AUC) values at 1-, 3- and 5-year were all > 0.7, with AUC values at 1- and 3-year were 0.746 and 0.752, indicating that the chemokine risk score had a relatively good accuracy in predicting the survival of KIRC. Then, the TCGA-KIRC cohort was divided into high- and low-risk groups based on the cohort's median chemokine risk score value. The Kaplan-Meier curves of the two groups showed obvious dispersion with significantly higher survival rate in the low-chemokine-risk group (p < 0.0001, **Figure 3C**). Furthermore, the calibration curves

showed good fitting of predicted survival and actual survival at 1-, 3- and 5-year (Figure 3D). The other chemokines showed no significant association with the prognosis of KIRC (P > 0.05 for all, Supplementary Figure S4).



Figure 2. The mRNA levels of chemokines in KIRC. (A) The expression of elevated chemokines in KIRC were explored in multiple cancer types. The expression profile of (B) CXCL14, (C) CCL28 and (D) CX3CL1 were plotted. (E) to (P) The correlation of chemokines with different pathological stages of KIRC.





# 3.3 The genetic alteration, co-expression and interaction analysis of hub-chemokines in KIRC

Based on the results of correlation with KIRC pathologic stages and KIRC survival, a total of 13 hub-chemokines were identified: CCL1, CCL4, CCL5, CCL20, CCL25, CCL28, CXCL2, CXCL5, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11, CXCL13 and CX3CL1. A comprehensive analysis of the molecular pattern of these hub-chemokines were performed. By using cBioPortal to analyze the genetic alterations, we found that the most frequent chemokine alteration in KIRC was gene amplification (**Figure 4A**). For chemokine CCL20, gene amplification was the most common alteration followed by deep deletion (**Figure 4A**).

The co-expression of hub-chemokines were shown in **Figure 4B**. CCL1 was not analysed due to limited number of valid expression values in the samples. Most of the hub-chemokines were significantly correlated. There was a very strong correlation of expression levels between CXCL10 and CXCL11, a high correlation among CCL4, CCL5, CXCL9 and CXCL11 (**Figure 4B**).

The PPI network analysis was conducted by using STRING (Figure 4C) and GeneMANIA (Figure 4D) to investigate the potential interactions among these hub-chemokines. The results of STRING analysis suggested that the functional enrichments in the hub-chemokines network were mainly related to leukocyte tethering or rolling, natural killer cell chemotaxis, cell-cell adhesion mediated by integrin, chemotaxis of lymphocyte and T cells, and chemokine receptor binding of CXCR3, CCR10, CXCR and CCR1, with 13 nodes and 64 edges (Figure 4C). The GeneMANIA analysis also indicated that the functions of hub-chemokines were predominantly associated with cellular response to chemokines, cytokine activity and chemokine receptor binding (Figure 4D).



Figure 4. Mutations and interactions of hub-chemokines in KIRC. (A) Mutation information of hub-chemokines from cBioPortal. (B) Correlation between each hub-chemokine in KIRC. (C) PPI network from STRING. (D) Circular diagram of hub-genes from GeneMANIA.

## 3.4 Cancer-related pathway and drug sensitivity analysis of hub-chemokines in KIRC

The results of cancer-related pathway analysis indicated that most hub-chemokines were related with the activation of apoptosis, EMT, hormone ER pathways and the inhibition of hormone AR, DNA damage response pathways (**Figure 5A, 5B** and **5C**). The result of drug sensitivity analysis indicated that the expression of CXCL12 was positively correlated with drug resistance (**Figure 5D**).

### 3.5 Enrichment analysis of chemokines in KIRC

Considering the critical function of chemokines in KIRC, we conducted enrichment analysis of the hub-chemokines. The top five correlated genes for each hub-chemokine were listed in **Table 2**. GO functional enrichment analysis suggested that the hub-chemokines were principally associated with chemokine-mediated signaling pathway, neutrophil chemotaxis and chemokine activity (Figure 6A), while in KEGG analysis we observed that the hub-chemokines were mainly enriched in viral protein interaction with cytokine and cytokine receptor (Figure 6A). PPI network analysis suggested that hub-chemokine function was predominantly involved in cytokine activity, chemokine receptor binding and response to chemokines (Figure 6B). The function of hub-chemokines and correlated genes were also validated by using Metascape. Similarly, the analysis by Metascape showed that the function was mainly enriched in chemokine receptors bind chemokines, lymphocyte migration and toll-like receptor signaling pathway (Figure 6C and 6D). In addition, the result obtained from MCODE showed that hub-chemokines played critical roles in chemokine receptors bind chemokines, viral protein interaction with cytokine and cytokine receptor and peptide ligand-binding receptors (Figure 6E and 6D).





## **3.6 Correlation between immune infiltration and hub-chemokines in KIRC**

The TIMER results suggested that CCL4, CCL5,

CXCL9, CXCL10 and CXCL11 had high correlation (correlation coefficient > 0.5, P < 0.05) with multiple immune cells infiltration (**Figure 7**). CCL4 was correlated with CD8+T cell, neutrophil and dendritic

cell (**Figure 7A**). CCL5 was correlated with CD8<sup>+</sup>T cell and dendritic cell (**Figure 7B**). CXCL9, CXCL10 and CXCL11 were correlated with B cell, CD8<sup>+</sup>T cell, neutrophil and dendritic cell (**Figure 7C, 7D** and **7E**). The correlations that were not significant between immune infiltration and hub-chemokines were shown in **Supplementary Figure S5**. The impact of each immune cell infiltration on survival was evaluated by using K-M method and the macrophage was the most close to statistical significance (P = 0.099). In the COX regression analysis of immune cells for survival of kidney renal clear cell carcinoma, the immune cells were included in the COX regression model and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell and macrophage showed significant impact on survival (P < 0.05). 
 Table
 2.
 The top
 5 significant genes correlated with hub-chemokines in kidney renal clear cell carcinoma

Chemokine	Correlated genes
CCL1	ATXN2L, SYNGR3, KCNN4, RGL4, FBXW10B
CCL4	CCL3, CCL4L1, CRTAM, SIRPG, GZMA
CCL5	CD3D, CD3E, GZMA, CST7, CD27
CCL20	CXCL2, FHL2, ICAM1, RELB, CXCL1
CCL25	SYNGR3, PMCH, TNS4, DUSP2, PTPN7
CCL28	KISS1R, ANG, CDCA7L, HOXA4, ALDOC
CXCL2	CXCL3, NFKBIZ, CXCL1, ZC3H12A, CXCL8
CXCL5	CXCL6, TGFBI, CTHRC1, MMP7, IGDCC4
CXCL9	CXCL11, CXCL10, GBP5, TIGIT, CD3G
CXCL10	CXCL11, CXCL9, GBP1, GBP5, GBP1P1
CXCL11	CXCL10, CXCL9, GBP1, GBP5, GBP1P1
CXCL13	TIGIT, GZMK, SIRPG, CD27, SH2D1A
CX3CL1	AQP1, KANK3, BOC, NPR3, NAT8B



Figure 6. Enrichment analysis of hub-chemokines and neighboring genes in KIRC. (A) Bar plots of GO and KEGG enrichment. (B) The PPI network from GeneMANIA. (C) Bar graph of the top 20 enriched terms coloured by P values. (D) Network of enrichment terms coloured by cluster name. (E) PPI network of the hub-chemokines and (F) MCODE components.



Figure 7. Correlations analysis between hub-chemokines and different types of immune infiltration. Scatter plots of (A) CCL4, (B) CCL5, (C) CXCL9, (D) CXCL10 and (E) CXCL11. (F) K-M curves of different types of immune infiltration in the survival of KIRC.

# 3.7 Correlation analysis of immune checkpoints in KIRC

We selected the following chemokines that were more correlated with the prognosis and immune infiltration of KIRC: CCL4, CCL5, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11 and CXCL13. The correlation of chemokines and immune checkpoints in KIRC were explored. The immune checkpoints and chemokines with correlation coefficients greater than 0.75 were PDCD1 and CCL5 (0.884), LAG3 and CCL5 (0.874), PDCD1 and CXCL13 (0.761) (**Figure 8A**). CD80, CD86, CD28, LAG3 and PDCD1 showed high correlation with most of these chemokines (**Figure 8A**). We also analysed the correlation of CXCL10 with these immune checkpoints (**Figure 8B** to **8J**). Most of these immune checkpoints were significantly correlated with CXCL10 with correlation coefficients > 0.5 and P < 0.001 (**Figure 8B** to **8J**).

 Table 3. COX regression analysis of immune cells for survival of kidney renal clear cell carcinoma

Immune cell	Hazard ratio	95%CIs	P value
B cell	0.549	0.02-13.57	0.71
CD8+ Tcell	0.175	0.04-0.83	0.03
CD4+ Tcell	0.592	0.04-8.9	0.71
Macrophage	0.062	0.01-0.64	0.02
Neutrophil	24.809	0.39-1582.75	0.13
Dendritic cell	3.062	0.52-18.13	0.22

### 4. Discussion

In KIRC, the tumor microenvironment is capable of modulating carcinogenesis and tumor development and is tightly related with anti-cancer drug resistance, immune escape and survival [14-16]. Multiple researches have found that chemokines are increased in various types of cancers and proven valuable in predicting prognosis [17]. The chemokines play a critical part in the interactivity between the tumor microenvironment and tumor behaviors [18, 19]. Therefore, verification of chemokines expression



Figure 8. Correlation of hub-chemokines and immune checkpoints in KIRC. (A) Annular heatmap shows the correlation coefficients between selected hub-chemokines and immune checkpoints. (B) Circular plot shows the correlation of CXCL10 with these immune checkpoints. (C) to (J) Scatter plots show the expression level of CXCL10 and immune checkpoints.

in KIRC and their relationship with tumor characteristics is of vital importance. In the present research, we clarified the significance of chemokines in KIRC by using multiomics and multiple bioinformatics tools. Generally, many chemokines were increased in KIRC and 13 hub-chemokines that were correlated with patient prognosis and tumor stage were identified. We also found that chemokines were involved in the activation of cancer-related signaling pathways, various types of immune infiltration and drug resistance. Additionally, the hub-chemokine CXCL10 was selected and several immune checkpoints of CXCL10 were identified.

Recently, CXCL10 has been identified as a prognostic biomarker and potential treatment target for KIRC. Qu et al. found that the expression level of CXCL10 in tumor tissues was significantly associated with the prognosis of patients with KIRC [20]. Esteban et al. also observed that a high basal serum level of CXCL10 was significantly associated with reduced PFS and OS in a prospective cohort patient with metastatic renal cell carcinoma [21]. C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 10 (CXCL10) is a 10-kDa secreted protein as a member of the CXC family, also known as interferon gamma-inducible protein 10 (IP-10). CXCL10 play import part in mediating the processes including leukocyte migration, adaptive immune, inflammatory response, hematopoietic and angiogenic reactions [22]. CXCL10 is a chemokine that is frequently elevated during EGFR-TKI treatment in the tumor microenvironment of lung cancer. CXCR3 is a specific receptor of CXCL10, and most of the above CXCL10-mediated processes are through the CXCL10/CXCR3 signaling pathway. the In development of cancer, the autocrine CXCL10/ CXCR3 pathway in cancer cells can induce the proliferation of cancer and metastasis by modulating cell adhesion, invasion, and migration activity [23, 24]. The CXCL10/CXCR3 pathway has been found to show impact on the resistance to EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitors which was demonstrated by cytokine array analysis during in vitro coculture with tumor cells and activated PBMCs treated with EGFR-TKI [25]. The importance of CXCL10/CXCR3 signaling pathway has also been tested in other types of tumors such as melanoma and colorectal carcinoma [26, 27]. CXCL10 might also promote Th1 immune response and lead to increased number of CD8+ T cells in the inflamed tumor microenvironment [28]. Therefore, the CXCL10/CXCR3 signaling pathway might be a potential treatment target and prognostic biomarker for KIRC.

The other hub-chemokines, especially those showed significant association with immune infiltration, were also important mediators in the process of cancer progression. For instance, CCL4 is found to act as an oncogene in KIRC since it is involved in shorter survival and more advanced tumor stage of KIRC [29]. The high expression of CCL4 is also related with higher tumor mutation burden level in KIRC [29], which might further contribute to the remodeling of tumor microenvironment. On the other hand, another hub-chemokine, CCL5, is also found to be capable of inducing the immunosuppression in the tumor microenvironment and subsequent cancer progression and poor prognosis in KIRC via CCL5-dependent mast cell infiltration [30]. The mechanism of CCL5 might also be associated with tumor-associated macrophages which can produce massive CCL5 in tumor tissues [31]. The TME is associated with infiltration by multiple immune cells which is governed by chemokines [32]. Tumorassociated macrophage (TAM) is the most abundant immune cell in the TME. Since many CD68+ TAMs are M2-polarised, and they also express CD163 in neuroendocrine-low tumor subsets, thus inducing an immunosuppressive microenvironment within the tumor nests [33]. CCL5 can recruit the accumulation macrophages of and also regulate the epithelial-mesenchymal transition process via the PI3K/AKT pathway in KIRC cancer cells [31]. Another hub-chemokine, CCL20, which showed the most gene alterations, was also found to be able to regulate migration ability, the epithelialmesenchymal transition, and Akt phosphorylation in the human renal cell carcinoma cell line cells, and thus resulting in the poor prognosis of renal cancer [34]. can also be produced CCL20 by tumorassociated macrophages in the tumor microenvironment of KIRC and then activate the cancer cells via Akt activation, followed by acquired migration activity and epithelial-mesenchymal transition [34]. In brief, these hub-chemokines are critically important in regulating the tumor microenvironment of KIRC through various mechanisms and mediating the migration and proliferation of cancer cells.

The result of drug sensitivity analysis suggested that CXCL12 was positively correlated with drug resistance. It was recently found that CXCL12/CXCR4 is essential in the formation of the sphere in the renal organoid model, where endothelial and stem cell proliferation occurs collaboratively. CXCR4 and CXCL-12 can be assessed together in terms of the TME and used in microenvironment modeling in drug studies. The expression of CXCR4/CXCL12 was reported to be increased due to the hypoxic tumor environment [35].

Our research has several limitations. The hub-chemokines identified in this study and their

association with tumor-related immune cells need to be further challenged with *in vitro* or *in vivo* experiments. Besides, all clinical cases of KIRC included in the current research were acquired from the TCGA database, therefore the potency of the chemokine risk score also needs to be validated by external databases.

### Conclusion

In summary, the present research reveals novel insights into the chemokines and their role in the tumor microenvironment of KIRC. The findings could present new clues and directions of the prognosis prediction and treatment targets for KIRC.

### **Supplementary Material**

Supplementary figures. https://www.medsci.org/v20p1046s1.pdf

### Acknowledgements

### **Author Contributions**

(I) Conception and design: JW and ZH. (II) Administrative support: JW and QL. (III) Provision of study materials or patients: ZH, QL, YH and SC. (IV) Collection and assembly of data: ZH, QL, YH and SC. (V) Data analysis and interpretation: All authors. (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors. (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

#### **Data Availability Statement**

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article and its supplementary material files. Further enquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

### **Competing Interests**

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

### References

- Znaor A, Lortet-Tieulent J, Laversanne M, et al. International variations and trends in renal cell carcinoma incidence and mortality. Eur Urol. 2015; 67: 519-30.
- Wei JH, Feng ZH, Cao Y, et al. Predictive value of single-nucleotide polymorphism signature for recurrence in localised renal cell carcinoma: a retrospective analysis and multicentre validation study. Lancet Oncol. 2019; 20: 591-600.
- Hsieh JJ, Purdue MP, Signoretti S, et al. Renal cell carcinoma. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2017; 3: 17009.
- Liu D, Shu G, Jin F, et al. ROS-responsive chitosan-SS31 prodrug for AKI therapy via rapid distribution in the kidney and long-term retention in the renal tubule. Sci Adv. 2020; 6.
- Zhang JJ, Liu W, Xing GZ, et al. Role of CC-chemokine ligand 2 in gynecological cancer. Cancer Cell Int. 2022; 22: 361.
- Drouillard D, Craig BT, Dwinell MB. Chemokine Physiology in Cancer. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2022.
- Nagaya N, Lee GT, Horie S, et al. CXC Chemokine/Receptor Axis Profile and Metastasis in Prostate Cancer. Front Mol Biosci. 2020; 7: 579874.

- Susek KH, Karvouni M, Alici E, et al. The Role of CXC Chemokine Receptors 1-4 on Immune Cells in the Tumor Microenvironment. Front Immunol. 2018; 9: 2159.
- Yu L, Yang X, Xu C, et al. Comprehensive analysis of the expression and prognostic value of CXC chemokines in colorectal cancer. Int Immunopharmacol. 2020; 89: 107077.
- Cabrero-de Las Heras S, Martinez-Balibrea E. CXC family of chemokines as prognostic or predictive biomarkers and possible drug targets in colorectal cancer. World J Gastroenterol. 2018; 24: 4738-49.
- Liu CJ, Hu FF, Xia MX, et al. GSCALite: a web server for gene set cancer analysis. Bioinformatics. 2018; 34: 3771-2.
- Tang Z, Li C, Kang B, et al. GEPIA: a web server for cancer and normal gene expression profiling and interactive analyses. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017; 45: W98-W102.
- Li T, Fan J, Wang B, et al. TIMER: A Web Server for Comprehensive Analysis of Tumor-Infiltrating Immune Cells. Cancer Res. 2017; 77: e108-e10.
- Heidegger I, Pircher A, Pichler R. Targeting the Tumor Microenvironment in Renal Cell Cancer Biology and Therapy. Front Oncol. 2019; 9: 490.
- 15. Mier JW. The tumor microenvironment in renal cell cancer. Curr Opin Oncol. 2019; 31: 194-9.
- Hakimi AA, Voss MH, Kuo F, et al. Transcriptomic Profiling of the Tumor Microenvironment Reveals Distinct Subgroups of Clear Cell Renal Cell Cancer: Data from a Randomized Phase III Trial. Cancer Discov. 2019; 9: 510-25.
- Wani N, Nasser MW, Ahirwar DK, et al. C-X-C motif chemokine 12/C-X-C chemokine receptor type 7 signaling regulates breast cancer growth and metastasis by modulating the tumor microenvironment. Breast Cancer Res. 2014; 16: R54.
- Ganguly K, Shah A, Atri P, et al. Chemokine-mucinome interplay in shaping the heterogeneous tumor microenvironment of pancreatic cancer. Semin Cancer Biol. 2022; 86: 511-20.
- Bule P, Aguiar SI, Aires-Da-Silva F, et al. Chemokine-Directed Tumor Microenvironment Modulation in Cancer Immunotherapy. Int J Mol Sci. 2021; 22.
- Qu G, Wang H, Yan H, et al. Identification of CXCL10 as a Prognostic Biomarker for Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. Front Oncol. 2022; 12: 857619.
- Esteban E, Exposito F, Crespo G, et al. Circulating Levels of the Interferon-gamma-Regulated Chemokines CXCL10/CXCL11, IL-6 and HGF Predict Outcome in Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Patients Treated with Antiangiogenic Therapy. Cancers (Basel). 2021; 13.
- 22. Karin N, Razon H. Chemokines beyond chemo-attraction: CXCL10 and its significant role in cancer and autoimmunity. Cytokine. 2018; 109: 24-8.
- Tokunaga R, Zhang W, Naseem M, et al. CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11/CXCR3 axis for immune activation - A target for novel cancer therapy. Cancer Treat Rev. 2018; 63: 40-7.
- Wightman SC, Uppal A, Pitroda SP, et al. Oncogenic CXCL10 signalling drives metastasis development and poor clinical outcome. Br J Cancer. 2015; 113: 327-35.
- Hong SH, Kang N, Kim O, et al. EGFR-Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors Induced Activation of the Autocrine CXCL10/CXCR3 Pathway through Crosstalk between the Tumor and the Microenvironment in EGFR-Mutant Lung Cancer. Cancers (Basel). 2022; 15.
- D'Arcangelo D, Facchiano F, Nassa G, et al. PDGFR-alpha inhibits melanoma growth via CXCL10/IP-10: a multi-omics approach. Oncotarget. 2016; 7: 77257-75.
- Kikuchi N, Ye J, Hirakawa J, et al. Forced Expression of CXCL10 Prevents Liver Metastasis of Colon Carcinoma Cells by the Recruitment of Natural Killer Cells. Biol Pharm Bull. 2019; 42: 57-65.
- Reschke R, Yu J, Flood B, et al. Immune cell and tumor cell-derived CXCL10 is indicative of immunotherapy response in metastatic melanoma. J Immunother Cancer. 2021; 9.
- Zhang L, Zhang M, Wang L, et al. Identification of CCL4 as an Immune-Related Prognostic Biomarker Associated With Tumor Proliferation and the Tumor Microenvironment in Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. Front Oncol. 2021; 11: 694664.
- Liu T, Xia Q, Zhang H, et al. CCL5-dependent mast cell infiltration into the tumor microenvironment in clear cell renal cell carcinoma patients. Aging (Albany NY). 2020; 12: 21809-36.
- Xu W, Wu Y, Liu W, et al. Tumor-associated macrophage-derived chemokine CCL5 facilitates the progression and immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment of clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Int J Biol Sci. 2022; 18: 4884-900.
- Pichler R, Siska PJ, Tymoszuk P, et al. A chemokine network of T cell exhaustion and metabolic reprogramming in renal cell carcinoma. Front Immunol. 2023; 14: 1095195.

- Zhang C, Xu L, Ma Y, et al. Increased TIM-3 expression in tumor-associated macrophages predicts a poorer prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer: a retrospective cohort study. J Thorac Dis. 2023; 15: 1433-44.
- Kadomoto S, Izumi K, Hiratsuka K, et al. Tumor-Associated Macrophages Induce Migration of Renal Cell Carcinoma Cells via Activation of the CCL20-CCR6 Axis. Cancers (Basel). 2019; 12.
- Ozcelik A, Abas BI, Erdogan O, et al. On-Chip Organoid Formation to Study CXCR4/CXCL-12 Chemokine Microenvironment Responses for Renal Cancer Drug Testing. Biosensors (Basel). 2022; 12.