

Supplementary Material

Table S1. Comparison of EF and LV mass index between CKD patients with different thyroid status

Echocardiographic parameters	Unresolved subclinical hypothyroidism (n = 10)	Resolved subclinical hypothyroidism (n = 13)	Euthyroid (n = 54)	p
EF (%)	61.9 ± 14.9	63.6 ± 9.6	65.9 ± 10.9	0.619
LV mass index (g/m ²)	87.2 ± 40.6	119.7 ± 31.4	144.6 ± 280.0	0.748

*The results of EF and LV mass index were known in 77 (45.8 %) of the 168 patients.

Abbreviations: EF, ejection fraction; LV, left ventricular.

Table S2. Comparison of the slope of eGFR decline between CKD patients with different thyroid status according to baseline proteinuria amount

	Unresolved subclinical hypothyroidism	Resolved subclinical hypothyroidism	Euthyroid	p
Proteinuria < 0.3 g/day				
Number of patients (%)	6 (30.0)	7 (33.3)	58 (45.7)	0.283
eGFR decline/yr (ml/min/1.73 m ² /yr)	-12.3 ± 7.7 ^{*,†}	-3.4 ± 3.1	-4.00 ± 3.8	0.035
Proteinuria 0.3-3 g/day				
Number of patients (%)	9 (45.0)	8 (38.1 %)	50 (39.4)	0.878
eGFR decline/yr (ml/min/1.73 m ² /yr)	-5.5 ± 4.1	-6.3 ± 7.1	-5.96 ± 6.2	0.988
Proteinuria > 3 g/day				
Number of patients (%)	5 (25.0)	6 (28.6)	19 (15.0)	0.207
eGFR decline/yr (ml/min/1.73 m ² /yr)	-19.4 ± 23.3	-5.3 ± 3.7	-8.5 ± 6.4	0.415

* p < 0.05 vs. euthyroid, † p < 0.05 vs. resolved subclinical hypothyroidism.